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```
0
00:00:09.465 --> 00:00:11.755
Okay, it's one 30 and the hearing's resumed.
1
00:00:14.955 --> 00:00:19.895
Uh, if we could move on to plots 0 21 s and 0 21 R.
2
00:00:20.595 --> 00:00:23.695
Um, and this is in relation to
3
00:00:25.365 --> 00:00:28.975
clarification around the need for sea hay freehold.
4
00:00:29.195 --> 00:00:33.095
If the shafts would be temporary,
5
00:00:34.275 --> 00:00:38.385
and as I understand it, the land would be backfilled
6
00:00:38.385 --> 00:00:42.465
and reinstated, which is stated in the statements of reasons
7
00:00:42.645 --> 00:00:45.185
and in some of the ES chapters.
```


## 8

```
00:00:45.185 --> 00:00:48.545
So if you could just explain why freehold of those
9
00:00:49.205 --> 00:00:51.145
two plots, which are, which are for the two,
10
00:00:51.545 --> 00:00:55.585
I think it's shafts four and five are required in perpetuity
1 1
00:00:56.245 --> 00:00:58.225
if the shafts themselves themselves are not
12
00:00:58.405 --> 00:01:00.065
needed in perpetuity.
```

```
13
00:01:00.835 --> 00:01:01.835
Thank you.
1 4
00:01:03.885 --> 00:01:06.595
Thank you, sir. Uh, Ian cuts the applicant.
15
00:01:07.535 --> 00:01:11.555
Um, you're quite right in that paragraph 2.1 A
16
00:01:11.555 --> 00:01:15.595
to the project description, uh, rep three dash 0 1 7.
17
00:01:16.175 --> 00:01:20.635
Uh, that paragraph describes shafts four and five, um,
18
00:01:20.855 --> 00:01:23.755
and the use of those shafts will be temporary.
1 9
00:01:24.705 --> 00:01:28.205
So, uh, the, the key word in there is the use of the shafts,
20
00:01:28.705 --> 00:01:31.645
uh, because they will be used for the construction of the
2 1
00:01:32.215 --> 00:01:34.445
wastewater transfer tunnel,
22
00:01:34.905 --> 00:01:36.845
and they will indeed, as you, as you say,
23
00:01:36.865 --> 00:01:40.245
be backfilled once the tunnel has been constructed.
24
00:01:41.795 --> 00:01:46.005
However, the shafts will remain
25
00:01:46.705 --> 00:01:50.605
an integral part of the structure of the
26
00:01:51.165 --> 00:01:53.925
```

```
transfer tunnel, uh, because of their design.
2 7
00:01:55.395 --> 00:02:00.295
And, uh, therefore the actual shafts structures,
28
00:02:01.465 --> 00:02:04.525
the majority of them will be permanent.
29
00:02:05.555 --> 00:02:08.645
I'll come back to the caveat about the majority of them,
30
00:02:11.375 --> 00:02:15.855
but that is, that is the reason why,
31
00:02:17.555 --> 00:02:21.015
um, the applicant requires the freehold of those two plots
32
00:02:21.245 --> 00:02:23.575
because those structures will remain
33
00:02:24.945 --> 00:02:27.745
and they need to be detected
34
00:02:28.495 --> 00:02:32.585
because they are an integral part of the transfer tunnel.
35
00:02:34.015 --> 00:02:38.625
Okay. Um, so other parts of the transfer tunnel
36
00:02:38.685 --> 00:02:42.625
or other underground structures would also remain,
37
00:02:42.885 --> 00:02:44.825
but you don't need compulsory requisition
38
00:02:44.845 --> 00:02:46.865
of the land for those.
39
00:02:46.965 --> 00:02:50.505
So why is it particularly for the shaft? So that's necessary
```

```
4 0
00:02:53.025 --> 00:02:56.025
Ian cuts to the applicant in relation to the rest of the
4 1
00:02:56.715 --> 00:02:57.865
wastewater tunnel.
4 2
00:02:58.005 --> 00:02:59.605
In particular, uh,
4 3
00:03:00.265 --> 00:03:03.885
the applicant is requiring the acquisition of the freehold
4 4
00:03:03.885 --> 00:03:08.145
of the subsoil through which that tunnel structure passes,
4 5
00:03:09.635 --> 00:03:14.205
and therefore we, we are, the applicant is requiring that
4 6
00:03:14.235 --> 00:03:17.365
that freehold interest in those plots.
4 7
00:03:22.165 --> 00:03:25.025
But for example, the water beach pipeline has underground
4 8
00:03:25.465 --> 00:03:29.425
structures, but you don't need to, um, acquire ca
4 9
00:03:30.105 --> 00:03:32.265
freehold of the land.
5 0
00:03:32.285 --> 00:03:36.105
So I'm, I'm just, uh, I I'm unsure as to why you need it
5 1
00:03:36.125 --> 00:03:37.625
for the, for something that's only going
5 2
00:03:37.745 --> 00:03:41.495
to be temporarily used if you don't need it
5 3
00:03:41.495 --> 00:03:42.855
```

```
for other underground structures.
54
00:03:42.855 --> 00:03:44.495
That's a permanent impermanent use
55
00:03:46.495 --> 00:03:48.875
In cuts for the applicant in relation
5 6
00:03:48.875 --> 00:03:50.395
to the water beach pipelines.
57
00:03:50.395 --> 00:03:54.315
Those are a, a different kind of structure, uh,
58
00:03:54.315 --> 00:03:55.355
and then nature and,
5 9
00:03:55.415 --> 00:03:59.755
and location as such that, uh, only a new right in the form
6 0
00:03:59.755 --> 00:04:02.915
of an easement is required for the protection
6 1
00:04:02.915 --> 00:04:07.635
of those pipes, whereas the wastewater transfer tunnel, uh,
6 2
00:04:07.685 --> 00:04:09.115
given its strategic nature
6 3
00:04:09.295 --> 00:04:13.155
and the type of structure that it is, uh, means that
6 4
00:04:14.405 --> 00:04:17.065
the freehold of the sub soil through which
6 5
00:04:17.065 --> 00:04:18.705
that tunnel passes is required.
6 6
00:04:19.365 --> 00:04:24.145
And if you can imagine, sir, the shafts are a, um,
```

```
6 7
00:04:24.425 --> 00:04:28.025
a vertical extension of that transfer tunnel.
6 8
00:04:28.885 --> 00:04:32.065
Um, and because those physical structures are going
6 9
00:04:32.065 --> 00:04:34.945
to remain into perpetuity by
70
00:04:34.945 --> 00:04:38.705
and large, uh, then the free hold off those two plots is
71
00:04:38.905 --> 00:04:40.905
required so that they can be protected,
7 2
00:04:42.335 --> 00:04:44.025
Protected from, from what,
7 3
00:04:44.965 --> 00:04:47.345
Uh, from activities that might, um,
74
00:04:47.925 --> 00:04:49.705
affect their structured integrity in the future,
75
00:04:49.825 --> 00:04:52.585
particularly development, um, around them.
7 6
00:04:53.795 --> 00:04:56.585
Right. So when they're, so, when they're backfilled
7 7
00:04:56.585 --> 00:04:59.935
and reinstated, you will own the land,
78
00:05:01.195 --> 00:05:05.295
but why can't the
7 9
00:05:05.765 --> 00:05:08.095
whoever's farming the land use that as part
80
00:05:08.095 --> 00:05:09.815
```

```
of their farmland?
81
00:05:10.465 --> 00:05:13.855
Would it be fenced around in a, would it, would it be, um,
82
00:05:13.955 --> 00:05:15.375
an inaccessible to them?
83
00:05:16.595 --> 00:05:18.935
Uh, Ian cuts to the applicant's, uh, no sir.
84
00:05:18.935 --> 00:05:21.695
They, they, they will be, uh,
85
00:05:21.875 --> 00:05:23.735
or that area will be accessible.
86
00:05:24.035 --> 00:05:27.495
And the applicant's intention effectively is to reduce
87
00:05:28.065 --> 00:05:31.815
those shafts down to about four meters beneath ground level,
88
00:05:32.305 --> 00:05:36.615
which will allow farming operations as they exist today, uh,
89
00:05:36.675 --> 00:05:39.495
to continue once those shafts have been backfilled
90
00:05:39.635 --> 00:05:42.455
and the land reinstated to, to farm use.
91
00:05:43.805 --> 00:05:45.215
Okay. I'm still struggling
92
00:05:45.215 --> 00:05:47.815
to understand why you can't just have rights over that land.
93
00:05:48.075 --> 00:05:50.815
Why do you need compulsory acquisition?
```

```
94
00:05:52.365 --> 00:05:53.995
Would you be coming on the land in the future
95
00:05:54.255 --> 00:05:55.795
to do something or
96
00:06:00.355 --> 00:06:01.355
Ian cuts the applicant? Um,
97
00:06:01.355 --> 00:06:03.185
quite possibly.
98
00:06:03.725 --> 00:06:06.855
So they, they, they will be, um,
99
00:06:07.535 --> 00:06:12.335
structures which are part of the transfer tunnel, um, a and
100
00:06:12.845 --> 00:06:16.975
therefore their structural integrity is as important
101
00:06:17.075 --> 00:06:19.415
as the transfer tunnel itself.
102
00:06:20.195 --> 00:06:23.385
Um, and I,
103
00:06:23.505 --> 00:06:25.385
I think it would be good estate management on the
104
00:06:25.385 --> 00:06:29.225
applicant's part to have the freehold of those two plots
105
00:06:29.785 --> 00:06:31.865
bearing in mind that they will contain those two structures.
106
00:06:32.655 --> 00:06:35.665
Okay. So if you own the land, how, how would you give
107
00:06:36.415 --> 00:06:38.785
```

```
that sort of access or rights to the
108
00:06:40.625 --> 00:06:42.915
gone villain keys or whoever's managing the land,
1 0 9
00:06:43.575 --> 00:06:44.755
um, access?
1 1 0
00:06:45.215 --> 00:06:47.555
How would, and is that in the DCO anywhere
1 1 1
00:06:49.215 --> 00:06:50.215
Ian cuts to the applicant?
112
00:06:51.355 --> 00:06:55.455
So it, it, it's envisaged that once those
1 1 3
00:06:56.175 --> 00:06:58.055
structures have been reduced in height
114
00:06:58.075 --> 00:07:02.375
by approximately four meters, that, uh, one way
1 1 5
00:07:02.375 --> 00:07:05.255
of providing access to, uh, the landowner
1 1 6
00:07:05.275 --> 00:07:08.975
and its occupy would be to grant a lease of
1 1 7
00:07:09.685 --> 00:07:13.225
that area to the landowner
1 1 8
00:07:13.365 --> 00:07:18.185
and ultimately to its tenant, um, commonly, um,
1 1 9
00:07:18.355 --> 00:07:21.965
known as a pie crust lease.
120
00:07:22.465 --> 00:07:25.605
So it's a defined, um, depth,
```


## 121

00:07:27.485 --> 00:07:31.595
uh, and of course the compulsory acquisition, um,
122
00:07:31.895 --> 00:07:34.155
powers don't allow that to happen.
123
00:07:34.855 --> 00:07:35.955
So that would be something
124
00:07:35.955 --> 00:07:37.235
that would have to be done by agreement.
125
00:07:41.185 --> 00:07:43.155
Okay. Thank you. Um, Ms. Sharp,
126
00:07:44.225 --> 00:07:45.495
would you like to comment on that?
127
00:07:47.555 --> 00:07:51.575
Thanks. Um, Rebecca Sharp, um, on behalf of Golin Keys,
128
00:07:52.035 --> 00:07:56.015
um, just in response to what Ian has described, um,
129
00:07:56.655 --> 00:07:59.455
I would, um, add that we, we,
130
00:07:59.455 --> 00:08:02.855
we believe those rights could be protected under the form
131
00:08:02.855 --> 00:08:06.935
of an easement, the same as the, um, surrounding rights
132
00:08:07.035 --> 00:08:08.415
around the shaft.
133
00:08:08.635 --> 00:08:12.975
So we would be happy for the subsoil to be
134
00:08:13.695 --> 00:08:17.365

```
acquired, um, in line with the, with,
135
00:08:17.365 --> 00:08:19.485
with the same treatment for the rest of the, um,
136
00:08:20.135 --> 00:08:21.565
waste transfer pipe.
1 3 7
00:08:22.025 --> 00:08:25.805
Um, and we would suggest
138
00:08:25.875 --> 00:08:28.085
that the shafts are dealt with, um,
1 3 9
00:08:28.425 --> 00:08:30.795
with easement restrictions in the same way.
1 4 0
00:08:31.455 --> 00:08:36.395
Um, just adding to that, there's been a slight, um,
1 4 1
00:08:37.735 --> 00:08:41.035
uh, discrepancies on, on what is actually going
142
00:08:41.035 --> 00:08:42.075
to be left at surface level.
143
00:08:42.075 --> 00:08:44.595
Some of the documents refer to above ground structures
144
00:08:44.615 --> 00:08:47.515
and some of them, um, refer to it being reinstated.
145
00:08:48.015 --> 00:08:50.235
Um, so we would just seek some clarity
146
00:08:50.235 --> 00:08:51.315
around that point as well.
147
00:08:52.695 --> 00:08:56.725
Is that the HRA, the HR a screening report refers to
```

148
00:08:57.255 --> 00:08:58.685
eight meter structures, I think.
149
00:08:58.865 --> 00:09:01.845
Is that right? On one of the plans? Yes. Yeah, I think.
150
00:09:02.635 --> 00:09:05.135
Okay. Thank you.
151
00:09:09.755 --> 00:09:12.495
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, I can clarify that,
152
00:09:12.525 --> 00:09:13.895
that point fairly swiftly
153
00:09:13.915 --> 00:09:16.735
and that it, it, it has been superseded
154
00:09:16.735 --> 00:09:20.175
and that eight meter vent structure is not, um, proposed.
155
00:09:21.125 --> 00:09:24.135
Okay. So would you be amending the HRE screening report
156
00:09:24.135 --> 00:09:26.255
to reflect that or is
157
00:09:26.255 --> 00:09:28.095
that just an inconsistency that wasn't updated?
158
00:09:29.315 --> 00:09:31.415
So I think we're considered looking at does it need
159
00:09:31.795 --> 00:09:32.975
ATA as the appropriate Okay.
160
00:09:32.975 --> 00:09:34.855
Way to do it. But we will, we will pick that up.
161
00:09:34.855 --> 00:09:35.895

The applicant will pick that up
162
00:09:35.895 --> 00:09:38.975
and, um, deal with it appropriately at the next deadline.
163
00:09:40.205 --> 00:09:41.535
Okay. So in response to that,
164
00:09:41.555 --> 00:09:43.575
why can't you just have easements over the land?
165
00:09:46.335 --> 00:09:50.955
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, we, we, we have a,
166
00:09:51.735 --> 00:09:54.715
we have a situation where you have ownership
167
00:09:54.935 --> 00:09:56.515
of the subar of the tunnel.
168
00:09:57.015 --> 00:09:58.755
You have ownership of the shafts,
169
00:09:58.755 --> 00:10:00.635
which will go all the way up to the surface,
170
00:10:00.805 --> 00:10:04.555
apart from such part that, that ends up being handed back,
171
00:10:05.335 --> 00:10:09.275
um, to easement allow,
172
00:10:10.215 --> 00:10:14.615
um, would allow common use
173
00:10:14.615 --> 00:10:17.495
of land subject to various regulations and,
174
00:10:17.495 --> 00:10:18.735
and rights and restrictions.

```
175
00:10:19.915 --> 00:10:24.415
The applicant is willing to look at, um,
176
00:10:25.655 --> 00:10:30.575
a bilateral agreement that would allow for an,
177
00:10:30.575 --> 00:10:34.415
a suitable mechanism once that relevant top part
178
00:10:34.415 --> 00:10:36.255
of the shaft has been backfilled
179
00:10:36.275 --> 00:10:37.775
and that we know exactly how much
180
00:10:37.775 --> 00:10:40.375
of it can be handed back in the particular circumstances,
181
00:10:41.045 --> 00:10:44.855
subject to the appropriate restrictions being entered into
182
00:10:45.035 --> 00:10:47.935
by the landowner to give protection to the structure,
183
00:10:47.935 --> 00:10:50.615
which is not just the protection from damage
184
00:10:51.115 --> 00:10:54.015
for the structure, but also to protect the users of the land
185
00:10:54.085 --> 00:10:58.455
that will have a very significant piece of infrastructure
186
00:10:59.195 --> 00:11:03.655
in the ground, um, albeit, uh, backfilled
187
00:11:04.195 --> 00:11:06.935
and therefore a bilateral solution
188
00:11:07.985 --> 00:11:11.495
```

```
could potentially be dealt with by cooperation by agreement,
189
00:11:11.595 --> 00:11:15.415
but it's not something which you can impose in a
190
00:11:15.415 --> 00:11:16.615
compulsory acquisition sense.
191
00:11:25.165 --> 00:11:26.505
Excuse me. Yeah.
192
00:11:26.505 --> 00:11:30.765
So do you think, is this something that you
193
00:11:30.765 --> 00:11:32.525
and, um, gunville
194
00:11:32.525 --> 00:11:34.565
and keys, do you think you'll reach agreement on?
195
00:11:35.625 --> 00:11:37.125
Um, you're saying
196
00:11:37.125 --> 00:11:39.605
that you would provide a lease or some something.
197
00:11:40.335 --> 00:11:43.725
Would that, do you envisage that happening during the course
198
00:11:43.725 --> 00:11:45.085
of the examination at all?
199
00:11:51.565 --> 00:11:52.315
Thank you, sir.
200
00:11:52.925 --> 00:11:56.605
McDermott on behalf of the applicant, um, the applicant,
201
00:11:56.825 --> 00:11:59.845
um, welcomes the discussions we've had with Ms. Sharp
```

```
202
00:12:00.105 --> 00:12:02.285
and, um, the help
203
00:12:02.355 --> 00:12:05.205
that her client has given us to us through this process.
204
00:12:06.225 --> 00:12:11.045
Um, more recently you'll see that, um, some heads
205
00:12:11.045 --> 00:12:13.525
to terms have been produced for us to consider prior
206
00:12:13.525 --> 00:12:18.385
to Christmas and with the view that they are, um,
207
00:12:19.455 --> 00:12:23.475
of a format that that would produce an agreement subject to
208
00:12:24.395 --> 00:12:27.355
a couple of points around the financial aspects of, of that.
209
00:12:27.375 --> 00:12:30.395
But in terms of the general, uh, components
210
00:12:30.495 --> 00:12:33.925
of the heads have been produced, uh, I'm certainly confident
2 1 1
00:12:33.925 --> 00:12:35.205
that we could reach an agreement by the end
212
00:12:35.205 --> 00:12:36.205
of the examination.
213
00:12:37.305 --> 00:12:39.675
Okay. Thank you. Ms. Sharp, do you, are you,
214
00:12:39.695 --> 00:12:40.755
uh, of the same opinion,
2 1 5
00:12:43.855 --> 00:12:44.945
```

Rick Sharp Golan keys?
216
00:12:45.825 --> 00:12:49.745
I am of the opinion that we can, uh, mostly agree, um, all
217
00:12:49.825 --> 00:12:51.545
of the point under option.
218
00:12:51.765 --> 00:12:54.825
Um, the slight variation on that is that the terms
219
00:12:54.825 --> 00:12:56.305
that we're passing in respect
220
00:12:56.305 --> 00:12:59.305
of the shafts does not allow the freehold purpose.
221
00:13:00.005 --> 00:13:03.545
Um, at surface level, we have agreed, um, principle
222
00:13:03.545 --> 00:13:05.865
that these would be done as part of the easement
223
00:13:07.085 --> 00:13:08.105
aren't finalized,
224
00:13:08.105 --> 00:13:10.105
and we are still discussing the detail note,
225
00:13:10.525 --> 00:13:15.185
but if we can agree under those, I I don't see why, um,
226
00:13:15.775 --> 00:13:17.825
that needs to be treated differently, um, just
227
00:13:17.945 --> 00:13:19.265
'cause it's part of a po.
228
00:13:19.765 --> 00:13:23.865
Um, the, the other point that I would is, um, so speaking is

```
229
00:13:25.005 --> 00:13:28.345
as part of DCO application currently, there would guarantee
2 3 0
00:13:28.455 --> 00:13:30.225
that the, um, that the college
2 3 1
00:13:30.775 --> 00:13:32.385
will be granted those act rights
2 3 2
00:13:32.485 --> 00:13:35.425
and concern is that, uh, what I would, you know,
2 3 3
00:13:35.425 --> 00:13:37.105
obviously hope that angling water would act
234
00:13:37.105 --> 00:13:39.585
with faith isn't, there's nothing to them, uh,
2 3 5
00:13:39.765 --> 00:13:42.825
ran the college or to entering into a lease.
236
00:13:42.925 --> 00:13:46.865
And that would leave us with two, which just say by four
237
00:13:47.595 --> 00:13:52.225
plots right in the middle of, um, cultural fields that, um,
2 3 8
00:13:52.415 --> 00:13:55.225
tenants would have to drive agricultural machinery around
2 3 9
00:13:55.245 --> 00:13:57.185
to avoid trespassing over, um,
240
00:13:57.185 --> 00:13:59.225
which in practicum just doesn't really work.
241
00:14:02.525 --> 00:14:04.665
But if you had a lease, then you would be allowed
242
00:14:04.665 --> 00:14:06.145
```

```
to use the land, wouldn't you?
243
00:14:07.765 --> 00:14:10.505
Yes, we would. At, at the moment that the GTO has written,
244
00:14:10.575 --> 00:14:12.305
there's no, um, guarantee that,
245
00:14:12.305 --> 00:14:16.785
that we'll be such a lease on that side of it perspective.
246
00:14:17.335 --> 00:14:21.425
Okay. So can I leave that with you to discuss further
247
00:14:21.565 --> 00:14:23.825
and maybe at the next deadline, come back with,
248
00:14:25.125 --> 00:14:26.385
um, any update?
249
00:14:27.965 --> 00:14:30.425
So Michelle Moss for the applicant? Yes, certainly.
250
00:14:30.425 --> 00:14:32.425
And that, that is the nature of the,
251
00:14:32.485 --> 00:14:33.585
the ongoing discussions.
252
00:14:33.855 --> 00:14:36.425
What I would say is that by its very nature,
253
00:14:36.605 --> 00:14:41.465
we can't create a lease, which is why we the,
254
00:14:41.465 --> 00:14:43.505
because you, you don't, the, the solution is not in the dco.
255
00:14:44.205 --> 00:14:46.745
Um, the solution has to be a bilateral agreement.
```

```
256
00:14:46.885 --> 00:14:49.985
The applicant is, is very willing, uh,
257
00:14:50.045 --> 00:14:51.885
and has proposed, uh,
258
00:14:51.945 --> 00:14:56.045
and engaged in discussions, um, on that basis with,
259
00:14:56.195 --> 00:14:57.405
with the affected party,
260
00:14:57.585 --> 00:15:01.685
but it, it cannot put something into the DCO by form
261
00:15:01.685 --> 00:15:02.805
of a lease to deal with this.
2 6 2
00:15:02.865 --> 00:15:04.605
And, and, but we very much imagine
2 6 3
00:15:04.605 --> 00:15:05.605
that there would be a solution to
264
00:15:05.605 --> 00:15:06.445
the points that been raised.
2 6 5
00:15:07.235 --> 00:15:10.845
Okay, thank you. Um, in that case, moving on
266
00:15:10.845 --> 00:15:13.725
to plot oh one two DI just had a question over
267
00:15:15.565 --> 00:15:17.895
development restrictions over it.
268
00:15:18.195 --> 00:15:19.655
Um, I think there's a point again raised
2 6 9
00:15:19.715 --> 00:15:21.735
```

```
by Gunville and Keys.
270
00:15:22.555 --> 00:15:26.255
Uh, if the Water Beach pipeline South
271
00:15:27.045 --> 00:15:29.975
element would not be needed in perpetuity
272
00:15:29.975 --> 00:15:34.615
because you firstly are envisaging connecting
273
00:15:34.615 --> 00:15:37.135
to the existing site
274
00:15:37.355 --> 00:15:41.135
and then connecting to the proposed site, which would make
275
00:15:42.035 --> 00:15:43.815
the World Speech pipeline south redundant.
276
00:15:44.835 --> 00:15:48.635
Um, why,
277
00:15:49.095 --> 00:15:51.675
why would you need restrictions over that in perpetuity
278
00:15:52.255 --> 00:15:54.835
and could they be removed in future if
279
00:15:54.835 --> 00:15:55.915
you no longer needed them?
280
00:16:00.435 --> 00:16:03.375
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, um,
281
00:16:05.955 --> 00:16:10.575
at present, it is expected that that part of the, uh,
282
00:16:10.575 --> 00:16:14.815
water beach pipeline will be needed if, if
```

```
283
00:16:14.835 --> 00:16:18.735
and when it is decommissioned, um,
284
00:16:19.325 --> 00:16:21.215
then the infrastructure will remain in the ground
285
00:16:22.155 --> 00:16:27.095
and the applicant will liaise with the landowners to,
286
00:16:27.835 --> 00:16:30.095
to look at the appropriate time as to whether
287
00:16:31.085 --> 00:16:35.535
it's appropriate to vary the rights
288
00:16:35.535 --> 00:16:38.335
that are in place, um, having regard to
289
00:16:38.475 --> 00:16:39.735
how the land is used at the time.
290
00:16:39.755 --> 00:16:41.255
But there will be
291
00:16:42.155 --> 00:16:44.535
infrastructure in the ground at that point.
292
00:16:44.755 --> 00:16:47.215
So it is envisaged that rights
293
00:16:47.215 --> 00:16:49.015
and some restrictions will be needed,
294
00:16:49.695 --> 00:16:52.575
albeit there may be scope for, for some variation.
295
00:16:54.985 --> 00:16:56.685
Uh, Ms. Sharp, would you like to comment on
296
00:16:56.685 --> 00:16:57.885
```

```
that particular matter?
297
00:17:01.575 --> 00:17:05.805
Thank you. Um, I would, my only concern on
298
00:17:05.805 --> 00:17:08.525
that is whether there's actually any obligation on, uh,
299
00:17:08.845 --> 00:17:11.965
Anglia Water to actually commit to those, um, uh,
300
00:17:12.535 --> 00:17:14.125
those conversations for this
301
00:17:14.485 --> 00:17:17.565
'cause I, I can't see in how many years time when, when
302
00:17:17.565 --> 00:17:19.525
that part's not necessary, what,
303
00:17:19.525 --> 00:17:21.165
what would their incentive be for
304
00:17:21.385 --> 00:17:24.485
or coming to us to, to effectively surrender, right?
305
00:17:25.115 --> 00:17:29.205
They all have, and I, I just, that, that,
306
00:17:29.225 --> 00:17:31.045
that's my concern around, around that point.
307
00:17:32.685 --> 00:17:37.095
Okay, I understand. And I mean, uh, do you have any, uh,
308
00:17:37.095 --> 00:17:40.535
do you have any planning permissions or anything like that
309
00:17:40.635 --> 00:17:43.255
or proposals that you would want
```

```
3 1 0
00:17:43.255 --> 00:17:45.415
to provide development in that particular area?
3 1 1
00:17:46.435 --> 00:17:47.615
Uh, not at the moment.
3 1 2
00:17:47.955 --> 00:17:51.685
Um, there are no specific allocation for the farm,
313
00:17:51.955 --> 00:17:54.965
just given its location on the outside of the original,
3 1 4
00:17:54.965 --> 00:17:56.325
obviously within the A 14.
315
00:17:56.865 --> 00:18:00.525
Um, it is considered that the farm, uh, may be a,
316
00:18:00.765 --> 00:18:01.765
a potential for, for
317
00:18:01.765 --> 00:18:03.005
development at some point in the future.
318
00:18:03.025 --> 00:18:05.005
So that's something that we are, we are sort
319
00:18:05.005 --> 00:18:07.125
of bearing in mind whilst, whilst looking at this scheme.
320
00:18:08.195 --> 00:18:09.765
Okay. Sorry, I missed that last part.
321
00:18:09.825 --> 00:18:11.685
You are, you are kind of breaking up a little bit.
322
00:18:11.995 --> 00:18:14.405
Apologies. Um, can you hear me now?
323
00:18:15.505 --> 00:18:19.205
```

```
Yes, that's better. Yeah, so, um, the, there's,
324
00:18:19.205 --> 00:18:21.405
there's no official sort of al allocations or,
325
00:18:21.405 --> 00:18:23.165
or permissions active on the land at the moment,
326
00:18:23.465 --> 00:18:26.245
but, um, given the location, um, on the outskirts
327
00:18:26.245 --> 00:18:28.685
of Cambridge and inside the A 14, uh,
328
00:18:28.685 --> 00:18:30.845
we would generally consider the site as a potential
329
00:18:30.845 --> 00:18:34.365
for longer, long, long-term, uh, development, um,
3 3 0
00:18:34.385 --> 00:18:36.965
at some point in the future, albeit there's, there's no sort
331
00:18:36.965 --> 00:18:39.005
of active planning process going on at the moment.
332
00:18:40.075 --> 00:18:43.005
Okay, thank you. So you mentioned in the future you may
3 3 3
00:18:43.995 --> 00:18:46.885
ease any rights, et cetera, restrictions.
3 3 4
00:18:46.985 --> 00:18:48.365
How, how would you go about doing that
335
00:18:48.585 --> 00:18:52.805
and where, is there anything in the DCO that would mean
336
00:18:52.805 --> 00:18:54.325
that you would have to re-look at that in the future?
```

```
337
00:18:56.625 --> 00:18:58.285
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, no,
338
00:18:58.285 --> 00:19:00.285
there isn't anything in the DCO in that respect.
339
00:19:00.945 --> 00:19:04.125
Um, but it, it's entirely the sort of matter
340
00:19:04.125 --> 00:19:06.605
that would be covered by a deed of easement
341
00:19:06.675 --> 00:19:08.005
with agreement between the party.
342
00:19:08.005 --> 00:19:10.925
Having regard to circumstances that may
343
00:19:10.925 --> 00:19:13.325
or may not arise in relation
344
00:19:13.325 --> 00:19:15.725
to the development prospects the applicant does,
345
00:19:16.155 --> 00:19:18.245
does not consider there are development
346
00:19:18.445 --> 00:19:19.605
prospects for that land at present.
347
00:19:20.305 --> 00:19:21.965
Um, but that does not alter the fact
348
00:19:21.965 --> 00:19:24.125
that these are matters which could be discussed
349
00:19:24.865 --> 00:19:27.765
and given some, you know, clarity around
350
00:19:28.105 --> 00:19:31.005
```

and have regard to relevant circumstances
351
00:19:31.625 --> 00:19:33.045
in, in a deed of easement.
352
00:19:35.765 --> 00:19:38.575
Okay, thank you. Um, yes, Ms. Cotton.
353
00:19:38.965 --> 00:19:42.255
Alright. Just very quickly, um, would that affect, um,
354
00:19:42.515 --> 00:19:45.815
our rights that we currently have to, to walk to, to, um,
355
00:19:46.875 --> 00:19:49.735
ton and our neighbor's Red House close across that meadow
356
00:19:51.545 --> 00:19:52.845
In, in terms of plot?
357
00:19:53.285 --> 00:19:56.445
020 1D you mean? Or 0 21? B
358
00:19:56.665 --> 00:19:59.525
21, sorry, around the shaft
359
00:19:59.825 --> 00:20:02.925
and also the area, the Yeah, the shaft that you're proposing
360
00:20:02.925 --> 00:20:06.295
that you want freehold for, just
361
00:20:06.455 --> 00:20:08.615
'cause that's, that all coincides with the, with the field
362
00:20:08.615 --> 00:20:11.295
that I walk across that we do walk across
363
00:20:11.295 --> 00:20:13.575
and have done for many, many years.

364
00:20:16.815 --> 00:20:21.535
So I didn't mean to spend ages on it. Sorry. Uh, 21 s that,
365
00:20:21.835 --> 00:20:24.055
So I think, yeah, the question is the sharp, the two
366
00:20:24.565 --> 00:20:26.815
squares that you are seeking Compuls acquisition for
367
00:20:28.105 --> 00:20:33.025
after any construction and it's reinstated, would Ms.
368
00:20:33.095 --> 00:20:34.905
Coum be able to walk across those parcels
369
00:20:34.905 --> 00:20:36.745
of land? I think is her question.
370
00:20:36.835 --> 00:20:37.425
Thank you. Yes.
371
00:20:42.885 --> 00:20:44.245
Ian cuts for the applicant. Um,
372
00:20:47.275 --> 00:20:49.595
I I I'm in, in relation to Ms.
373
00:20:49.635 --> 00:20:53.075
Cotton's, um, legal right to cross that land at the moment,
374
00:20:53.135 --> 00:20:56.315
I'm, I'm unsure, but the, the intention would be, uh,
375
00:20:56.465 --> 00:20:58.675
that there would be nothing physically from stopping anybody
376
00:20:58.745 --> 00:21:01.115
from, um, accessing that, that land if,
377
00:21:01.135 --> 00:21:02.275
if they had the right to do so.
378
00:21:04.015 --> 00:21:08.525
Thank you. Yeah, so the same as it is currently. Thanks.
379
00:21:12.135 --> 00:21:14.515
So, uh, moving on to part, so 22 I
380
00:21:14.615 --> 00:21:17.595
and 021 A .
381
00:21:18.735 --> 00:21:22.735
Um, so I just want to understand the extent of use
382
00:21:24.075 --> 00:21:26.205
during construction operation.
383
00:21:27.425 --> 00:21:31.085
So this is, so we'll have HVS traveling down the access road
384
00:21:31.265 --> 00:21:35.965
to Popler Hall and going into the, the compound
385
00:21:35.965 --> 00:21:37.365
or where the shafts are located.
386
00:21:38.225 --> 00:21:41.605
Um, and how would that conflict with,
387
00:21:43.075 --> 00:21:45.375
for example, Ms. Cotton wanting to walk
388
00:21:46.475 --> 00:21:48.375
up the access road onto the street
389
00:21:48.595 --> 00:21:52.455
and along to Ton, for example, will there be conflict
390
00:21:52.455 --> 00:21:56.615
between the HGV and people pedestrians using the access

```
391
00:21:57.755 --> 00:21:58.935
or cyclists, for example,
392
00:22:01.525 --> 00:22:02.525
Ian cuts to the applicant?
393
00:22:02.665 --> 00:22:06.125
Um, if I can take your question in the, in the two parts,
394
00:22:06.125 --> 00:22:11.045
firstly, during construction, um, the, the, the use
395
00:22:11.045 --> 00:22:13.845
of of the track down to pop hall
396
00:22:13.845 --> 00:22:18.685
and pop hall farm itself, um, there would be a very, uh,
397
00:22:19.785 --> 00:22:22.915
limited number of times that HG vs would
398
00:22:24.195 --> 00:22:27.675
actually access, uh, that
399
00:22:27.735 --> 00:22:32.635
or use that track to access to parcel 21 G,
4 0 0
00:22:33.325 --> 00:22:36.355
which is an area of temporary possession.
4 0 1
00:22:39.415 --> 00:22:43.595
And the, the applicant's intention is, is that the use of
4 0 2
00:22:43.795 --> 00:22:48.075
that track by HVS would be very, um, occasional
4 0 3
00:22:48.095 --> 00:22:49.955
and for a very limited period of time
4 0 4
00:22:50.495 --> 00:22:54.315
```

and would of course be in consultation with the residents 405
00:22:54.415 --> 00:22:57.515
of the area to make sure, uh, that it,
406
00:22:57.515 --> 00:22:59.435
there were no conflicts, uh,
407
00:22:59.435 --> 00:23:00.915
in relation to that, into that use.
408
00:23:02.885 --> 00:23:06.545
The reason for that particular use, um, is, is
409
00:23:06.545 --> 00:23:11.105
to get the necessary planter machinery, uh, into
410
00:23:11.105 --> 00:23:16.025
that area in order to construct what will become,
411
00:23:16.605 --> 00:23:18.705
um, the access off Hoey road
412
00:23:20.645 --> 00:23:23.585
and approximately, uh, plot 22 J.
413
00:23:26.675 --> 00:23:29.365
Once that access has been created,
414
00:23:30.075 --> 00:23:34.925
then there will be no need to use plots 22 I
415
00:23:34.985 --> 00:23:37.845
or 21 A as I've described.
416
00:23:38.835 --> 00:23:40.605
Okay. So we're talking numerous times a day
417
00:23:40.625 --> 00:23:42.685
or like numerous times a week,

```
4 1 8
00:23:42.825 --> 00:23:47.375
or in terms of using that, the access
4 1 9
00:23:47.375 --> 00:23:49.575
to access 0 21 G,
4 2 0
00:23:50.995 --> 00:23:54.415
Uh, it, I'm, I'm sorry, Ian cuts on mcCal the applicant.
4 2 1
00:23:54.435 --> 00:23:56.495
I'm unable to put exact figures on it,
4 2 2
00:23:56.715 --> 00:23:59.175
but I think that we would, uh, that the applicant, um,
4 2 3
00:23:59.175 --> 00:24:01.895
would, would seek to use it, uh, for
4 2 4
00:24:02.975 --> 00:24:05.785
very infrequent use for a very short period of time.
4 2 5
00:24:06.125 --> 00:24:10.105
And it, and it completely on the, um, understanding that
4 2 6
00:24:11.145 --> 00:24:12.485
access to the area
4 2 7
00:24:12.505 --> 00:24:14.205
for the residents has got to be maintained.
4 2 8
00:24:15.925 --> 00:24:17.015
Okay. Yes. Ms. Cotton,
4 2 9
00:24:19.355 --> 00:24:22.615
Uh, uh, Liz Cotton, uh, you said that, uh, 40%
4 3 0
00:24:22.615 --> 00:24:24.455
of our drive will be used for up to six months
4 3 1
00:24:24.455 --> 00:24:26.735
```

```
during construction and 40% of the drive for up
4 3 2
00:24:26.735 --> 00:24:28.295
to six months after construction.
4 3 3
00:24:28.715 --> 00:24:33.655
Um, and also permanent, um, uh, access generally forever.
4 3 4
00:24:34.195 --> 00:24:37.335
Um, but uh, I'd just like to reiterate that there a family,
4 3 5
00:24:37.515 --> 00:24:39.415
you know, families living at the bottom of the drive
436
00:24:39.515 --> 00:24:42.655
and young children and animals, um,
4 3 7
00:24:43.075 --> 00:24:46.615
and, um, I'm not sure how on earth we're going to manage to,
4 3 8
00:24:46.635 --> 00:24:49.255
and if, if we want to leave in an emergency to get
4 3 9
00:24:49.255 --> 00:24:50.335
to the hospital, how,
440
00:24:50.355 --> 00:24:52.615
and there's a truck coming down the drive, what do we do?
4 4 1
00:24:52.865 --> 00:24:54.175
Where do you go? How does that happen?
442
00:24:57.275 --> 00:24:59.445
Yeah, I mean, that was my question really, sorry.
443
00:24:59.995 --> 00:25:02.565
Well, no, um, I mean, it's helpful for the applicant
444
00:25:02.565 --> 00:25:04.965
to answer again, but that's what I'm saying.
```

```
4 4 5
00:25:04.965 --> 00:25:06.405
Would the, may there be conflict
4 4 6
00:25:06.405 --> 00:25:07.445
and how would that be managed?
4 4 7
00:25:08.745 --> 00:25:12.205
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant, um, that those sorts
4 4 8
00:25:12.205 --> 00:25:14.485
of matters are covered in general in the, uh,
4 4 9
00:25:14.725 --> 00:25:17.045
construction transport, um, document,
4 5 0
00:25:17.625 --> 00:25:20.365
but the applicant completely acknowledges that, that, um,
4 5 1
00:25:21.305 --> 00:25:24.655
those arrangements need to be put in place, um, and,
4 5 2
00:25:24.715 --> 00:25:27.255
and through consultation, uh, they, they will be
4 5 3
00:25:29.645 --> 00:25:30.645
Okay. I mean, maybe you
4 5 4
00:25:30.645 --> 00:25:31.855
could direct Ms.
4 5 5
00:25:31.855 --> 00:25:34.095
Cotton's where in the construction transport management
4 5 6
00:25:34.095 --> 00:25:37.295
plan, those kind of measures, measures are shown.
4 5 7
00:25:37.955 --> 00:25:40.335
Um, and Ms. Cotton, maybe you can have a look at that
4 5 8
00:25:41.485 --> 00:25:43.545
```

and reflect on it and come back
459
00:25:43.545 --> 00:25:45.545
to the next deadline if you still have any concerns.
460
$00: 25: 45.635 \rightarrow 00: 25: 46.345$
Thank you so much.
461
00:25:56.475 --> 00:25:58.725
Yeah, so after construction, it would literally be
462
00:25:59.285 --> 00:26:00.685
a maintenance vehicle maybe once
463
00:26:00.685 --> 00:26:01.885
every couple of months or something.
464
00:26:02.585 $\rightarrow$-> 00:26:04.165
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant, yes. Thank you.
465
00:26:04.165 --> 00:26:06.645
So I, I was just gonna come back to the, the permanent use
466
00:26:06.645 --> 00:26:07.765
of, of, of the track.
467
00:26:07.985 --> 00:26:12.085
Uh, it would indeed be, uh, very infrequent use, um, perhaps
468
00:26:12.265 $\rightarrow$ 00:26:14.125
by a light vehicle and,
469
00:26:14.225 --> 00:26:17.145
and quite possibly less, you know,
470
00:26:17.145 --> 00:26:19.425
around once a year it would be very infrequent.
471
00:26:20.495 $\rightarrow$ 00:26:23.785
Okay, thank you. Um, in that case, I'd like to move on

```
4 7 2
00:26:23.785 --> 00:26:25.905
to the, uh,
4 7 3
00:26:27.115 --> 00:26:28.825
plots oh oh five and oh oh eight.
4 7 4
00:26:29.085 --> 00:26:32.905
Um, just very briefly, could you just clarify why
475
00:26:34.375 --> 00:26:37.555
the extent that, uh, is, I think it's for monitoring,
4 7 6
00:26:37.695 --> 00:26:41.405
is it monitoring of the railway line, um,
4 7 7
00:26:41.505 --> 00:26:44.045
but just in terms of the extent of those plots,
4 7 8
00:26:44.265 --> 00:26:46.165
why do you need that much in order to monitor
4 7 9
00:26:47.675 --> 00:26:50.095
the effects on the railway line,
4 8 0
00:26:52.665 --> 00:26:55.285
Uh, Ian cuts for the applicant, uh, in relation
4 8 1
00:26:55.285 --> 00:26:57.485
to those two particular plots?
4 8 2
00:26:57.485 --> 00:27:00.885
They are, uh, yes, very much needed, uh, to,
4 8 3
00:27:02.305 --> 00:27:04.405
uh, allow the monitoring of the railway line,
484
00:27:04.405 --> 00:27:07.725
which is obviously, um, uh, for the purposes of
4 8 5
00:27:07.725 --> 00:27:09.445
```

```
of railway safety and,
486
00:27:09.465 --> 00:27:11.765
and will be a requirement, requirement for sure,
4 8 7
00:27:12.105 --> 00:27:13.165
um, of network rail.
4 8 8
00:27:13.545 --> 00:27:16.605
Uh, and the applicant's experience of, um, interfacing
4 8 9
00:27:16.605 --> 00:27:20.085
with network rail elsewhere in its region, um, has,
4 9 0
00:27:20.145 --> 00:27:24.525
has led it to, um, specify the extent of those plots,
4 9 1
00:27:26.035 --> 00:27:30.425
which in part, uh, will be based upon, uh,
4 9 2
00:27:31.125 --> 00:27:35.785
the, uh, geometry of the depth of the transfer tunnel,
4 9 3
00:27:36.245 --> 00:27:39.465
uh, and the water beach pipelines as well, and,
494
00:27:39.485 --> 00:27:43.185
and what that geometry corresponds to at rail level.
4 9 5
00:27:44.005 --> 00:27:47.345
Um, perhaps if we may, sir, if, if more details needed,
4 9 6
00:27:47.405 --> 00:27:50.465
we could always put that into our, um, oral submission.
4 9 7
00:27:51.165 --> 00:27:55.975
Okay, thank you. And then just looking at plot, oh, 38 C,
498
00:27:56.545 --> 00:28:00.255
which is the blue plot adjacent to oh two one B,
```

```
4 9 9
00:28:01.485 --> 00:28:06.475
um, I'm a bit unclear whether the,
500
00:28:08.455 --> 00:28:12.475
the pipelines in that area would be open trenched
501
00:28:12.475 --> 00:28:13.595
or direct drilled.
502
00:28:14.215 --> 00:28:17.795
Um, and if they're open trenched,
503
00:28:18.945 --> 00:28:21.555
what implications does this have for, for example,
504
00:28:21.705 --> 00:28:25.515
footpaths, um, and also the crossing over Horing Sea Road
505
00:28:31.595 --> 00:28:32.595
Ian cuts for the applicant? Uh,
506
00:28:32.595 --> 00:28:35.715
if I can deal with the footpath, um, first, then uh,
507
00:28:35.925 --> 00:28:39.515
there would be a need to temporarily divert, uh,
508
00:28:39.515 --> 00:28:43.875
footpath 85, that, uh, }85\mathrm{ slash eight.
509
00:28:44.415 --> 00:28:48.755
Um, but that would be for a, uh, a limited period of, of,
510
00:28:48.755 --> 00:28:51.875
of time and is, uh, detailed, um,
511
00:28:52.215 --> 00:28:53.715
in the appropriate document, we can give you
512
00:28:53.715 --> 00:28:54.755
```

```
the reference. Yeah,
513
00:28:54.815 --> 00:28:57.475
So I, I understand the di the dive diversions needed,
514
00:28:57.475 --> 00:29:00.915
but I'm thinking if there's a, an open cut trench
515
00:29:01.095 --> 00:29:02.875
and you are diverting across it,
516
00:29:03.765 --> 00:29:05.505
how do people physically get from one
517
00:29:05.505 --> 00:29:06.545
side of the trench to the other?
518
00:29:08.085 --> 00:29:09.145
It cuts the applicant?
519
00:29:09.245 --> 00:29:13.545
Um, not all the trench would be, um, opened up all at once.
520
00:29:13.685 --> 00:29:17.585
So there would be a, um, an area of land that the
521
00:29:18.105 --> 00:29:20.425
footpath would be diverted over, which wasn't,
522
00:29:20.425 --> 00:29:22.145
wasn't being opened up for the trench.
523
00:29:22.525 --> 00:29:26.935
And then once, um, the pipes being laid in the area
524
00:29:26.935 --> 00:29:28.735
that had been opened up, then it would swap over.
525
00:29:30.925 --> 00:29:33.295
Okay. So on the footpath plans, it shows clearly
```

```
526
00:29:33.295 --> 00:29:35.735
where the diversion is, so
527
00:29:35.735 --> 00:29:39.765
where it's showing being diverted, you're saying that might
528
00:29:40.545 --> 00:29:45.435
change slightly depending on, uh, the timing
529
00:29:45.495 --> 00:29:47.235
of the trenching, uh,
5 3 0
00:29:47.435 --> 00:29:48.955
E ian cuts for the, for the applicant?
53
00:29:49.655 --> 00:29:51.115
It is something that we probably need
532
00:29:51.115 --> 00:29:52.115
to look at in more detail.
533
00:29:52.195 --> 00:29:54.955
I, I think so. The, the scale of the plans of the is such
534
00:29:54.955 --> 00:29:57.235
that actually the, it's, it's a larger area than perhaps,
5 3 5
00:29:57.655 --> 00:29:59.875
um, you, you might think, um, and,
536
00:29:59.875 --> 00:30:01.875
and would allow for that diversion to to happen.
537
00:30:01.875 --> 00:30:04.435
Yeah. I wonder whether you were looking to bridge over it
538
00:30:04.435 --> 00:30:07.835
or somehow Yeah,
5 3 9
00:30:09.115 --> 00:30:11.995
```

```
'cause they're kind of set, the diversion's a fairly set.
540
00:30:13.055 --> 00:30:16.935
So if you are trenching where the diversion takes place,
5 4 1
00:30:17.605 --> 00:30:22.455
then I'm just unsure, unsure how people get from one side
542
00:30:22.455 --> 00:30:23.455
of the trench to the other.
543
00:30:25.035 --> 00:30:26.895
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant in that case.
544
00:30:26.895 --> 00:30:29.375
So let I, if we may, we'll, we'll take that away
545
00:30:29.475 --> 00:30:31.855
and just set, set that out in a, in a document with a,
546
00:30:31.855 --> 00:30:34.335
with a plan that she, which clearly shows that. Okay.
547
00:30:34.335 --> 00:30:37.775
And I mean, I suppose the same for the EY road as well.
548
00:30:38.145 --> 00:30:42.195
Would that require road closures and those kind of things?
549
00:30:42.615 --> 00:30:43.675
Uh, it, it will.
5 5 0
00:30:43.695 --> 00:30:45.715
So, and, and if you'd like to go into that in more detail,
5 5 1
00:30:45.715 --> 00:30:47.875
and we might, um, ask another member of the team
52
00:30:47.895 --> 00:30:51.965
to explain those diversions, but, uh, that they willing,
```

```
553
00:31:16.745 --> 00:31:19.045
So could, I mean, could that theoretically be a period
5 5 4
00:31:19.045 --> 00:31:21.525
of time when the public right of way
555
00:31:22.545 --> 00:31:25.685
or the diverted public right of way couldn't be used
556
00:31:28.665 --> 00:31:29.665
Ian cuts for the applicant?
557
00:31:29.855 --> 00:31:31.345
That that's not the applicant's intention.
558
00:31:32.435 --> 00:31:35.795
Okay. Sorry, could I just come in on this?
559
00:31:35.895 --> 00:31:40.195
How, if there's going to be some trenching, um,
560
00:31:41.335 --> 00:31:44.555
and if we look at the public rights of way plans
561
00:31:44.555 --> 00:31:48.955
that have been submitted, the diversion runs adjacent
5 6 2
00:31:49.175 --> 00:31:53.235
and parallel with the, um, existing right of way.
563
00:31:54.175 --> 00:31:57.915
So during the trenching period, how would
564
00:31:57.915 --> 00:31:58.995
that diversion work
565
00:32:02.785 --> 00:32:03.895
Being cuts to the applicant?
566
00:32:03.975 --> 00:32:07.495
```

I, I think so as, as I, um, suggested, we let,
567
00:32:07.505 --> 00:32:09.855
let's come back to you on that point with the detailed plan
568
00:32:10.315 --> 00:32:12.295
of it so that we can show you how it works. Okay,
569
00:32:12.295 --> 00:32:13.295
Thank you. And the same for Hoing
570
00:32:13.295 --> 00:32:15.015
Sea Road as well? Yes.
571
00:32:15.215 --> 00:32:16.215
Yeah, thank you.
572
00:32:18.545 --> 00:32:21.835
Okay, thank you. Uh, yeah,
573
00:32:21.835 --> 00:32:26.395
and then the, an update on matters around plots associated
574
00:32:26.395 --> 00:32:28.075
with the Water Beach Development Company
575
00:32:28.855 --> 00:32:31.995
and overlapping land requirements, noting
576
00:32:31.995 --> 00:32:35.235
that Water Beach Development company raised some concerns,
577
00:32:35.235 --> 00:32:37.155
still not withstanding reduction in the order limits
578
00:32:37.655 --> 00:32:40.645
as per the previous change request.
579
00:32:41.705 --> 00:32:45.965
Um, and I'm wondering what the implications may,

```
5 8 0
00:32:46.105 --> 00:32:47.885
may be if agreement is not reached
51
00:32:48.195 --> 00:32:49.965
with Water Beach Development Company.
52
00:32:53.175 --> 00:32:58.035
Uh, Ian cuts to the applicant, uh, as, as shown by the,
53
00:32:58.095 --> 00:32:59.955
the changes to the order limits, um,
584
00:33:00.095 --> 00:33:01.435
for the purposes of of the station.
58
00:33:02.055 --> 00:33:06.675
Uh, the applicant has a very, uh, good working relationship
586
00:33:06.945 --> 00:33:08.435
with the Water Beach Development Company.
587
00:33:08.975 --> 00:33:13.155
Um, and those, um, discussions continue on a regular basis.
58
00:33:13.855 --> 00:33:18.635
And, uh, as such, the applicant does not see an issue
5 8 9
00:33:18.705 --> 00:33:23.115
with, um, the overlapping of activities, uh, to go on,
590
00:33:23.855 --> 00:33:26.435
um, with, with both, both projects.
591
00:33:30.495 --> 00:33:32.305
Okay. And may that result in a
592
00:33:32.305 --> 00:33:33.345
further change request, do you think?
593
00:33:33.485 --> 00:33:38.105
```

If you need to, well, if Water Beach Development Company
594
00:33:38.675 --> 00:33:40.025
don't agree with some of the rights
595
00:33:40.085 --> 00:33:44.625
or, uh, acquisition rights you are seeking,
596
00:33:45.865 --> 00:33:48.685
Uh, Ian cuts for the applicant, um, our, our discussions
597
00:33:48.945 --> 00:33:53.005
to date certainly don't indicate that, uh, in, in respect
598
00:33:53.005 --> 00:33:55.365
of having to change the order limits again, no.
599
00:33:55.825 --> 00:33:59.845
Um, and, uh, to be able to acquire the necessary interests
600
00:34:00.185 --> 00:34:02.285
for the proposed development, again, um,
601
00:34:02.585 --> 00:34:04.765
the discussions did not indicate any issues.
602
00:34:05.515 --> 00:34:07.925
Okay. And in terms of negotiations, where are you at
603
00:34:07.955 --> 00:34:09.365
with Water Speech Development Company?
604
00:34:09.365 --> 00:34:12.565
When was your, when was the last time you spoke to them?
605
00:34:13.425 --> 00:34:17.405
Um, and yeah, what's, have you got an update on that please?
606
00:34:19.195 --> 00:34:20.535
Uh, Ian cuts to the applicant.

```
607
00:34:20.715 --> 00:34:23.685
Uh, there are a number of, um,
608
00:34:24.155 --> 00:34:25.925
different discussions going on
6 0 9
00:34:25.925 --> 00:34:27.005
with them depending on the topic.
6 1 0
00:34:27.585 --> 00:34:30.805
Um, but, uh, again, we can provide you an update, uh,
6 1 1
00:34:30.925 --> 00:34:35.425
a deadline for, I would, would, um, would suggest, um, Mr.
6 1 2
00:34:35.595 --> 00:34:37.585
McDot, just to inform me that, um, uh,
6 1 3
00:34:37.585 --> 00:34:40.545
there is a meeting coming up on the 29th January, uh,
6 1 4
00:34:41.055 --> 00:34:45.985
with the, uh, development company to, uh, take forward the,
6 1 5
00:34:46.045 --> 00:34:47.745
um, discussions around the heads of terms
6 1 6
00:35:00.235 --> 00:35:02.535
And that that also includes, so Walter speech
6 1 7
00:35:02.655 --> 00:35:06.655
for another company and is it SLC Rail together?
6 1 8
00:35:07.515 --> 00:35:08.615
Is, are they both at that,
6 1 9
00:35:08.615 --> 00:35:09.655
will they both be at that meeting?
6 2 0
00:35:11.805 --> 00:35:14.305
```

```
Uh, Ian cuts to the applicant, you're quite right
6 2 1
00:35:14.305 --> 00:35:16.105
to mention SLC rail, they are the,
62
00:35:16.125 --> 00:35:19.265
the contractor delivering, uh, the station on the on behalf
6 2 3
00:35:19.265 --> 00:35:20.265
of, of GCP.
6 2 4
00:35:20.805 --> 00:35:24.265
Um, and the conversations and discussions are all combined.
6 2 5
00:35:25.735 --> 00:35:30.685
Uh, if I could also, um, uh, in, in addition, just, just,
626
00:35:30.825 --> 00:35:33.245
um, direct you sir, please to the statement
627
00:35:33.245 --> 00:35:35.325
of common ground, um, as well, uh,
628
00:35:35.325 --> 00:35:36.445
which, which you might find useful.
629
00:35:37.735 --> 00:35:40.165
Thank you. Although obviously they're unsigned
6 3 0
00:35:40.225 --> 00:35:43.005
and they're produced by yourselves, so, um,
6 3 1
00:35:46.605 --> 00:35:48.755
until we get confirmation from them, we,
6 3 2
00:35:48.775 --> 00:35:49.835
we don't really know,
6 3 3
00:35:51.335 --> 00:35:52.515
but hopefully by deadline for,
```

```
6 3 4
00:35:52.515 --> 00:35:54.995
it sounds like we may have some more information,
6 3 5
00:35:55.995 --> 00:35:57.255
Uh, Ian cuts for the applicant.
6 3 6
00:35:57.335 --> 00:35:58.655
I, I think that that's,
6 3 7
00:35:58.675 --> 00:36:00.175
you'll certainly have more information, Sarah,
6 3 8
00:36:00.395 --> 00:36:03.295
and with the meeting on the 29th, we're hopeful of, of, um,
6 3 9
00:36:03.895 --> 00:36:05.295
progressing those, those discussions.
6 4 0
00:36:06.085 --> 00:36:10.655
Okay, thank you. Um, yeah, so in terms
6 4 1
00:36:10.655 --> 00:36:12.495
of the Water Beach Pipeline, Southern element,
642
00:36:15.035 --> 00:36:18.025
which would be constructed then later,
6 4 3
00:36:18.605 --> 00:36:20.025
as you've mentioned decommissioned,
644
00:36:22.335 --> 00:36:25.075
is it still required in respect of the delivery timeframe
645
00:36:25.075 --> 00:36:26.195
for Water Beach Newtown?
646
00:36:27.095 --> 00:36:29.315
Um, and is there any information on build out rates
647
00:36:30.325 --> 00:36:32.395
```

```
which suggests it may not be needed in,
648
00:36:32.575 --> 00:36:33.955
in the timeframes you are looking at
6 4 9
00:36:34.155 --> 00:36:37.755
to deliver this project, which you mentioned in paragraph
6 5 0
00:36:37.755 --> 00:36:41.075
3.3 0.46 of ES chapter two,
6 5 1
00:36:57.695 --> 00:36:59.315
Uh, Ian cuts for the applicant?
6 5 2
00:36:59.495 --> 00:37:03.955
In summary, sir, um, as of today
6 5 3
00:37:04.065 --> 00:37:08.715
that there is a need for the water beach South
654
00:37:09.595 --> 00:37:10.795
pipelines, uh, and,
6 5 5
00:37:10.795 --> 00:37:14.315
and you quite rightly refer to the build out rates, um,
656
00:37:14.655 --> 00:37:17.915
and the, the calculations based on those indicate
657
00:37:17.915 --> 00:37:20.915
that the pipeline, um, will be needed.
658
00:37:22.605 --> 00:37:24.735
Okay. So nothing's changed in the interim period
659
00:37:25.005 --> 00:37:27.695
between when ES chapter two was updated
6 6 0
00:37:27.915 --> 00:37:30.375
and now effectively,
```

```
6 6 1
00:37:31.395 --> 00:37:33.095
Uh, Ian cuts for, for the applicant?
62
00:37:33.195 --> 00:37:34.935
Uh, in, in summary, no, no,
6 6 3
00:37:35.075 --> 00:37:36.375
not from the applicant's point of view,
6 6 4
00:37:40.215 --> 00:37:41.215
Yes. Mr. Gilda?
6 6 5
00:37:41.215 --> 00:37:41.455
6 6 6
00:37:44.435 --> 00:37:47.845
Yeah, thank you, sir. I believe I'm still correct in
6 6 7
00:37:47.845 --> 00:37:51.725
saying, having seen the latest version of ES chapter two,
668
00:37:51.725 --> 00:37:55.605
that there are still two, um, alternative, um,
6 6 9
00:37:55.935 --> 00:37:58.085
timeframes given for the water beach south
6 7 0
00:37:58.905 --> 00:38:00.965
or for the Water beach pipeline as a whole.
6 7 1
00:38:01.585 --> 00:38:03.125
Um, the first of which is
6 7 2
00:38:04.215 --> 00:38:05.765
early on in the development process,
6 7 3
00:38:06.425 --> 00:38:09.645
and the other is as close as possible almost
6 7 4
00:38:09.785 --> 00:38:12.925
```

```
to the final completion of the, the whole project.
6 7 5
00:38:13.585 --> 00:38:16.785
Now, presumably under the latter, um, scenario,
676
00:38:17.055 --> 00:38:20.425
it's extremely unlikely that the applicant is going
6 7 7
00:38:20.425 --> 00:38:21.465
to go ahead
6 7 8
00:38:21.495 --> 00:38:24.945
with the construction water beach Power South pipeline.
6 7 9
00:38:25.005 --> 00:38:27.865
So I think it's a bit that it's disingenuous
6 8 0
00:38:27.885 --> 00:38:29.825
or trying to simplify matters to say
6 8 1
00:38:29.825 --> 00:38:31.225
that there's definitely a need
682
00:38:31.685 --> 00:38:33.505
for the Water Beach South pipeline.
683
00:38:33.575 --> 00:38:35.725
It's still a contingency, um,
684
00:38:35.985 --> 00:38:37.805
that's being built into the DCO
685
00:38:39.145 --> 00:38:41.845
and there's the obvious question that arises from that as
686
00:38:41.845 --> 00:38:44.965
to whether that's a justifiable basis on which
687
00:38:44.985 --> 00:38:47.085
to take the compulsory powers needed for
```

```
6 8 8
00:38:47.085 --> 00:38:48.445
that Water Beach South section.
69
00:38:49.105 --> 00:38:50.725
Um, in these circumstances,
690
00:38:53.565 --> 00:38:54.895
We'd like to respond to that please.
6 9 1
00:38:56.555 --> 00:38:59.615
So, Michelle Moss for the applicant, the,
6 9 2
00:39:00.385 --> 00:39:03.805
the proposed development necessarily includes that
6 9 3
00:39:04.415 --> 00:39:06.525
water beach, uh, the southern part
6 9 4
00:39:06.525 --> 00:39:07.605
of the Water Beach pipeline.
695
00:39:08.305 --> 00:39:11.575
Um, it is acknowledged that there is an alternative
6 9 6
00:39:13.125 --> 00:39:17.785
way forward depending on where, um,
6 9 7
00:39:18.155 --> 00:39:19.385
those build out rates
6 9 8
00:39:19.525 --> 00:39:21.265
and the, all the other factors which need
699
00:39:21.265 --> 00:39:22.785
to crystallize have got to.
7 0 0
00:39:23.485 --> 00:39:26.785
So the, but in terms of need
7 0 1
00:39:27.645 --> 00:39:30.345
```

at the present stage, there's a need to consent that part
702
00:39:30.345 --> 00:39:33.185
of the development, there's a need to provide
703
00:39:33.245 --> 00:39:36.145
for the land rights in order for that part
704
00:39:36.145 --> 00:39:37.585
of the development to come forward.
705
00:39:38.285 --> 00:39:42.465
It is acknowledged that the actual technical solution
706
00:39:43.325 --> 00:39:44.865
in due course, depending on
707
00:39:44.865 --> 00:39:47.505
how all the factors come together, could mean that that part
708
00:39:47.505 --> 00:39:49.745
of the water reach pipeline isn't provided
709
00:39:49.745 --> 00:39:52.865
because it's able to connect direct to the relocated plant.
710
00:39:53.525 --> 00:39:57.385
But need in the sense at the moment, is critical part of
711
00:39:57.535 --> 00:39:59.865
what the proposed development is seeking to achieve.
712
00:40:01.325 --> 00:40:05.305
And nobody has that absolute certainty to be able to say
713
00:40:05.305 --> 00:40:07.545
that it, that shouldn't be provided for
714
00:40:07.565 --> 00:40:09.865
and that it wouldn't actually need to happen in that way.

```
715
00:40:10.205 --> 00:40:11.665
So it's not certain,
716
00:40:12.805 --> 00:40:16.425
but it's, it's at present based on the, um,
717
00:40:16.575 --> 00:40:19.065
information the applicant has, highly likely.
718
00:40:26.895 --> 00:40:31.575
Okay, thank you. Um, in which case, moving on to
719
00:40:32.715 --> 00:40:34.415
the, this issue rather than the proposed
720
00:40:35.225 --> 00:40:38.735
permissive bridal aways bridal away
7 2 1
00:40:39.595 --> 00:40:43.775
and plots 33 a 35 a 37 C and 37 D,
722
00:40:45.285 --> 00:40:48.945
and in respect to the footpath on plot 0 37 B,
723
00:40:52.875 --> 00:40:54.855
it seems that these are not the bridal aways now
724
00:40:54.855 --> 00:40:59.015
to be permissive rather than a formal public right of way,
725
00:40:59.155 --> 00:41:01.295
and the footpath would also be permissive.
726
00:41:02.235 --> 00:41:04.495
So could you explain why
727
00:41:08.515 --> 00:41:10.295
and only over a certain period of time?
728
00:41:10.515 --> 00:41:13.935
```

So why would you need compulsory acquisition over that land?

```
729
00:41:15.755 --> 00:41:20.015
Um, in terms of the whole freehold rather than just rights
7 3 0
00:41:20.035 --> 00:41:22.215
for other people to use the land for
7 3 1
00:41:22.215 --> 00:41:23.375
that particular purpose?
72
00:41:25.985 --> 00:41:29.525
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, I should point out
73
00:41:29.525 --> 00:41:33.365
that it hasn't been established definitively
7 3 4
00:41:34.195 --> 00:41:36.925
that the bridal way will be permissive.
7 3 5
00:41:36.925 --> 00:41:38.805
There are still ongoing discussions with
736
00:41:39.425 --> 00:41:40.965
key stakeholders in that regard.
7 3 7
00:41:41.625 --> 00:41:46.365
Um, that said, from a compulsory acquisition perspective,
7 3 8
00:41:47.665 --> 00:41:51.525
it would not alter the proposed form of acquisition,
7 3 9
00:41:51.875 --> 00:41:56.765
whether it was permissive rights, uh, for, you know,
740
00:41:56.825 --> 00:41:59.365
for members of the public to use subject
7 4 1
00:41:59.385 --> 00:42:00.445
to necessary controls
```

```
72
00:42:00.445 --> 00:42:04.805
or whether it was, uh, to be dedicated as a full bridal way.
743
00:42:05.545 --> 00:42:07.565
And, and so in terms of whether
744
00:42:09.675 --> 00:42:12.065
permissive rights for both the footpath
745
00:42:12.285 --> 00:42:13.345
and the bridal way,
746
00:42:13.345 --> 00:42:15.945
should it be a permissive bridal way are concerned
7 4 7
00:42:17.135 --> 00:42:19.385
that cannot be achieved.
748
00:42:19.685 --> 00:42:21.425
If all, if the only interest
749
00:42:21.425 --> 00:42:24.985
that the applicant has in the land is something akin
7 5 0
00:42:24.985 --> 00:42:27.225
to an easement, a new rights, you cannot then
7 5 1
00:42:28.855 --> 00:42:31.505
pass those rights on to
752
00:42:33.095 --> 00:42:34.705
undefined members of the public.
753
00:42:35.485 --> 00:42:40.105
Um, it's hence why the freehold is in there.
7 5 4
00:42:40.645 --> 00:42:44.185
The applicant very much expects to reach an agreement
7 5 5
00:42:44.375 --> 00:42:48.305
```

```
with the landowner, which defines it, whereby they grant
756
00:42:49.005 --> 00:42:51.465
the necessary permissive rights with an suitable
7 5 7
00:42:52.275 --> 00:42:53.945
permissive path agreement,
7 5 8
00:42:54.495 --> 00:42:57.465
potentially permissive bridal away agreement, uh, subject
7 5 9
00:42:57.465 --> 00:42:59.025
to those ongoing discussions,
7 6 0
00:42:59.995 --> 00:43:03.345
which would only then require any form
7 6 1
00:43:03.345 --> 00:43:05.345
of compulsory acquisition in the event that
7 6 2
00:43:05.345 --> 00:43:08.745
that agreement was breached and, and renewed on in some way.
7 6 3
00:43:09.325 --> 00:43:13.825
But at present, the applicant could not achieve
7 6 4
00:43:13.825 --> 00:43:17.765
that end by creating new rights for itself.
7 6 5
00:43:21.335 --> 00:43:22.745
Okay, I understand. Thank you.
7 6 6
00:43:24.085 --> 00:43:28.425
You mentioned, um, stakeholders who are those stakeholders?
7 6 7
00:43:30.115 --> 00:43:33.135
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, um, uh,
7 6 8
00:43:33.365 --> 00:43:37.495
principally the, uh, the counter council, uh, and,
```

```
7 6 9
00:43:37.635 --> 00:43:38.695
and also the landowner.
7 7 0
00:43:41.115 --> 00:43:44.255
And in terms of any permissive rights,
7 7 1
00:43:44.255 --> 00:43:46.615
would they be limited to 30 years
7 7 2
00:43:46.755 --> 00:43:48.775
as is suggested further permissive rights?
7 7 3
00:43:49.755 --> 00:43:52.655
Uh, yes. So it 30 years is currently proposed.
74
00:43:55.335 --> 00:43:59.475
Um, and why are permissive rights being discussed
7 7 5
00:43:59.855 --> 00:44:02.395
as an alternative to a permanent right of way,
776
00:44:02.955 --> 00:44:04.195
a public right of way?
7 7 7
00:44:05.415 --> 00:44:09.875
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, um, this is
7 7 8
00:44:11.495 --> 00:44:15.545
fundamentally, um, being driven by the landowner,
7 7 9
00:44:16.405 --> 00:44:19.905
um, in, in the sense of the desirability to,
70
00:44:20.445 --> 00:44:25.305
to control the use of the land in due course, um,
781
00:44:25.635 --> 00:44:29.865
given, uh, the perception of risk of unsocial behavior.
7 8 2
00:44:31.025 --> 00:44:34.925
```

Should you wish to hear more on that aspect as opposed
783
00:44:34.985 --> 00:44:37.965
to the, the land mechanism, sir.
784
00:44:37.965 --> 00:44:39.325
Then, uh, Mr.
785
00:44:39.385 --> 00:44:42.525
Andrew Pryor may well still be
786
00:44:43.435 --> 00:44:46.085
virtually in attendance and be able to
787
00:44:46.085 --> 00:44:47.205
That, and let's just see, I've,
788
00:44:47.205 --> 00:44:48.485
I've got another couple of points on this.
789
00:44:49.105 --> 00:44:53.875
The, if, um, if the issue is,
790
00:44:54.915 --> 00:44:59.295
um, antisocial behavior, there is an antisocial behavior,
791
00:45:00.195 --> 00:45:04.215
um, section 106 obligation being proposed, does
792
00:45:04.215 --> 00:45:05.615
that not address the concern
793
00:45:05.615 --> 00:45:07.135
of the landowner in that regard?
794
00:45:11.315 --> 00:45:15.175
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, um, I'm not able
795
00:45:15.175 --> 00:45:18.855
to speak for the landowner at, at present. And

```
796
00:45:18.875 --> 00:45:22.295
If, if this was subject to compulsory acquisition
7 9 7
00:45:23.035 --> 00:45:25.295
and it was a permissive right, for 30 years,
7 9 8
00:45:25.645 --> 00:45:26.855
what would happen to the land
79
00:45:26.855 --> 00:45:28.855
after 30 years that had been acquired?
800
00:45:32.735 --> 00:45:34.195
So Michelle Moss for the applicant,
801
00:45:34.665 --> 00:45:37.355
that would very much depend on the attitude of the landowner
802
00:45:37.375 --> 00:45:40.035
as to whether they wanted the land back under CRI
803
00:45:40.035 --> 00:45:42.715
or down provisions or, or otherwise.
804
00:45:42.815 --> 00:45:46.435
The 30 years is obviously still a lengthy period of time.
805
00:45:46.625 --> 00:45:50.325
It's not something that could, would be suitable
806
00:45:50.425 --> 00:45:51.485
for temporary possession
807
00:45:51.505 --> 00:45:53.445
or something that could be created legally
808
00:45:54.065 --> 00:45:55.565
during temporary possession.
809
00:45:56.185 --> 00:45:58.605
```

And similarly, uh, it's not something
810
00:45:58.605 --> 00:46:01.485
that could be created via the creation
811
00:46:01.485 --> 00:46:02.845
of a new right for the applicant.
812
00:46:02.845 --> 00:46:06.365
Therefore, the mechanism to ensure that this is achieved
813
00:46:07.075 --> 00:46:09.565
it's freehold acquisition in the absence of $a$,
814
00:46:09.845 --> 00:46:11.285
a suitable agreement with a landowner.
815
00:46:11.575 --> 00:46:14.845
Thank you. But if you did take Freehold acquisition,
816
00:46:14.945 --> 00:46:16.205
you, it would be in your power
817
00:46:16.265 --> 00:46:18.485
to make it a public right of way, wouldn't it?
818
00:46:18.665 --> 00:46:21.005
Rather than a permissive right of way?
819
00:46:24.845 --> 00:46:27.505
So in principle, either,
820
00:46:27.805 --> 00:46:31.065
but it leads to be in the context of the, uh,
821
00:46:31.255 --> 00:46:34.825
overarching strategy for the public rights of way, uh,
822
00:46:35.235 --> 00:46:37.865
which you know, comes back that

```
823
00:46:37.865 --> 00:46:40.305
that's not something which I'm personally able to speak to,
824
00:46:40.445 --> 00:46:42.745
but if you'd like to hear more on that, I believe Mr.
825
00:46:42.795 --> 00:46:44.785
Pryor is around and available.
826
00:46:50.115 --> 00:46:51.295
No, that's okay. Thank you.
827
00:46:52.075 --> 00:46:56.775
Um, uh, I suppose the schedule two part six
828
00:46:58.195 --> 00:47:00.165
that the DCO suggests the right away would
829
00:47:00.165 --> 00:47:01.325
be a new public right of way.
830
00:47:01.945 --> 00:47:04.925
So if the DCO is granted, then, then, then does this
831
00:47:06.015 --> 00:47:09.345
automatically become a public right of way if that article,
832
00:47:10.645 --> 00:47:13.705
if that schedule is still within the DCO?
833
00:47:16.085 --> 00:47:17.505
So Michelle Moss for the applicant,
834
00:47:17.845 --> 00:47:21.905
the applicant recognizes that if there is
835
00:47:23.245 --> 00:47:28.005
consensus from all parties as to the nature, uh, of that
836
00:47:28.705 --> 00:47:32.005
```

```
bridal way, then consideration would need to be given to,
837
00:47:32.545 --> 00:47:34.045
to the appropriate requirement there.
838
00:47:34.605 --> 00:47:37.125
I can't, so pre-judge at present
839
00:47:37.985 --> 00:47:39.485
the direction that would go.
840
00:47:40.955 --> 00:47:42.885
Okay, so are you saying that that may need
841
00:47:42.945 --> 00:47:45.325
to be amended at some point during the examination
842
00:47:45.425 --> 00:47:49.605
or if you subsequently take compulsory acquisition
843
00:47:50.105 --> 00:47:53.525
of 33 a 35 a 37 C 37 D,
844
00:47:55.155 --> 00:48:00.075
does that schedule two part six mean it becomes a,
845
00:48:00.355 --> 00:48:04.075
a public right away unless it's removed from the DCO?
846
00:48:06.565 --> 00:48:09.865
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, my understanding is
847
00:48:09.865 --> 00:48:11.865
that if an alternative solution is agreed,
848
00:48:11.865 --> 00:48:13.425
then there would be a need for the applicant
849
00:48:13.525 --> 00:48:15.785
to propose some alterations to that.
```

```
850
00:48:15.895 --> 00:48:20.185
What, so I'm not able to address at present in the context
851
00:48:20.285 --> 00:48:23.385
of this hearing is exactly where that yeah,
852
00:48:23.385 --> 00:48:24.585
what what would be proposed.
853
00:48:24.895 --> 00:48:28.665
Okay. From a compulsory acquisition perspective, sir, it,
854
00:48:28.885 --> 00:48:33.105
it remains the need for freehold, whichever solution, uh,
855
00:48:33.325 --> 00:48:35.705
the applicant is required to go down.
856
00:48:36.055 --> 00:48:36.945
Okay, thank you.
857
00:48:50.545 --> 00:48:55.355
Okay, thank you. So moving on to the, sorry, Mr.
858
00:48:55.525 --> 00:48:56.525
Gilda.
859
00:48:58.105 --> 00:49:00.895
Sorry sir. Um, Ian Gilda for Save Honey Hill.
860
00:49:01.335 --> 00:49:03.375
I think it's a very unsatisfactory situation.
861
00:49:03.395 --> 00:49:08.255
So where the bridal way is being proposed as a,
862
00:49:08.375 --> 00:49:10.895
a significant piece of mitigation to
863
00:49:11.445 --> 00:49:13.495
```

```
effects on the public rights of WAY network
864
00:49:13.635 --> 00:49:16.535
and for other reasons, um, for it not
865
00:49:16.535 --> 00:49:19.615
to be specified at this stage that it is going
866
00:49:19.615 --> 00:49:21.935
to become a permanent public right of way.
867
00:49:22.475 --> 00:49:26.415
Um, and it seems very curious that that only that aspect
868
00:49:26.415 --> 00:49:29.935
of it is beholden to an ongoing discussion with,
869
00:49:30.005 --> 00:49:31.175
with a major landowner.
870
00:49:31.555 --> 00:49:34.015
Um, and it's certainly unsatisfactory, sir,
871
00:49:34.015 --> 00:49:35.495
if this is not resolved well
872
00:49:35.495 --> 00:49:37.215
before the end of the examination.
873
00:49:38.275 --> 00:49:40.095
Can, can I just come back on the point?
874
00:49:40.315 --> 00:49:43.975
Um, Mrs. Moss, you were saying about the, the landowner
875
00:49:44.755 --> 00:49:47.335
and, um, their concern about antisocial behavior.
876
00:49:48.145 --> 00:49:50.055
Where does that fit into the process
```

877
00:49:50.195 --> 00:49:51.895
of compulsory acquisition?
878
00:49:52.035 --> 00:49:55.495
Why should that influence whether the Secretary of State
879
00:49:56.235 --> 00:49:58.855
should authorize the compulsory acquisition of the land?
880
00:50:02.615 --> 00:50:05.355
Sir Michelle Moss for the applicant, uh,
881
00:50:05.355 --> 00:50:08.675
the applicant does not consider it alters the requirement
882
00:50:08.675 --> 00:50:10.315
for the compulsory acquisition of the land
883
00:50:10.735 --> 00:50:12.355
or the form of acquisition.
884
00:50:12.865 --> 00:50:16.635
However, it goes towards the, the overarching public rights
885
00:50:16.635 --> 00:50:20.675
of way strategy and the appropriate way
886
00:50:20.675 --> 00:50:23.315
of achieving the, the, the benefits
887
00:50:23.315 --> 00:50:24.795
and the mitigation of the development.
888
00:50:26.225 --> 00:50:30.315
Either solution in the applicant's consideration will
889
00:50:30.945 --> 00:50:32.475
deliver suitable benefits,
890
00:50:32.855 --> 00:50:36.755

```
but it is incumbent on the applicant to have regard
891
00:50:36.775 --> 00:50:39.515
to the views of relevant parties.
892
00:50:40.175 --> 00:50:42.115
And those discussions are ongoing,
893
00:50:43.175 --> 00:50:44.755
But should that,
894
00:50:44.815 --> 00:50:48.715
should those views affect whether the compulsory acquisition
895
00:50:48.715 --> 00:50:50.515
is confirmed for that plot or not?
896
00:50:51.055 --> 00:50:54.515
And similarly, should the landowner's views
897
00:50:54.535 --> 00:50:56.315
of whether it should be a permissive
898
00:50:56.335 --> 00:51:00.115
or a permanent public right of way influence
899
00:51:00.115 --> 00:51:01.115
that decision on ca.
900
00:51:03.375 --> 00:51:06.315
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, in my view,
901
00:51:07.755 --> 00:51:11.515
I don't consider that we, the applicant does not consider
902
00:51:11.545 --> 00:51:14.515
that whether it's permissive
903
00:51:15.175 --> 00:51:19.595
or public alters the benefits that will be delivered
```

```
904
00:51:20.095 --> 00:51:22.155
and that the compulsory acquisition
905
00:51:22.255 --> 00:51:23.835
of the land will facilitate.
906
00:51:23.925 --> 00:51:25.475
There remains, however,
907
00:51:26.515 --> 00:51:30.555
a dispute which the applicant is certainly hoping to resolve
908
00:51:31.175 --> 00:51:33.475
My, well, my question really relates to the,
909
00:51:33.735 --> 00:51:36.435
the landowner's views, not the weight
910
00:51:36.505 --> 00:51:37.835
that the applicant gives to it.
911
00:51:38.175 --> 00:51:41.995
Why should the landowner's views on whether it's permissive
912
00:51:42.055 --> 00:51:43.595
or A-P-R-0-W
913
00:51:44.655 --> 00:51:47.795
or you know, based on the antisocial behavior,
914
00:51:48.225 --> 00:51:52.995
have any bearing whatsoever on the acquisition of that land
915
00:51:53.495 --> 00:51:56.395
or the form that the, the route takes?
916
00:51:57.855 --> 00:51:59.475
So Michelle must, for the applicant,
917
00:51:59.585 --> 00:52:02.715
```

```
it's incumbent on the applicant to consider alternatives
918
00:52:03.295 --> 00:52:05.235
as part of its compulsory acquisition case.
919
00:52:05.415 --> 00:52:09.635
The landowner is expressing this as an alternative,
920
00:52:09.895 --> 00:52:11.915
and if it is to be discounted, there has
921
00:52:11.915 --> 00:52:13.555
to be clear reasons from the applicant
922
00:52:13.555 --> 00:52:16.435
and other stakeholders as to why that's not appropriate.
923
00:52:17.905 --> 00:52:21.755
That that said, so it is
924
00:52:22.735 --> 00:52:24.835
it the, the compulsory acquisition
925
00:52:25.195 --> 00:52:26.955
solution provides for either,
926
00:52:28.045 --> 00:52:29.045
Right. So what's
927
00:52:29.045 --> 00:52:31.195
the applicant's view on the best alternative?
928
00:52:32.415 --> 00:52:35.355
So that that is a matter which, as I say, it would be
929
00:52:35.945 --> 00:52:40.035
more appropriate for our experts that are dealing with
930
00:52:40.655 --> 00:52:43.235
the public rights of way strategy to pick up.
```

```
931
00:52:43.325 --> 00:52:46.555
Hence, I would very much like to bring Mr.
932
00:52:46.685 --> 00:52:48.915
Pryor in if you'd like to consider the, the,
933
00:52:49.135 --> 00:52:51.595
the public rights of way strategy in this context. Well,
934
00:52:51.595 --> 00:52:53.555
Let's, can we hear very briefly from Mr.
935
00:52:53.725 --> 00:52:57.235
Pryor as to what he considers to be the,
936
00:52:57.375 --> 00:52:58.915
the preferable alternative? Please,
937
00:52:58.915 --> 00:52:59.915
Sir. Sir, thank you.
938
00:52:59.915 --> 00:53:02.565
Good, good afternoon, sir. Thank you.
939
00:53:02.665 --> 00:53:04.685
Um, Andrew Pryor for the, for the applicant.
940
00:53:05.065 --> 00:53:09.645
Um, in planning terms, we, we consider that the,
941
00:53:09.945 --> 00:53:14.405
um, 30 year permissive right is broadly similar to, to, uh,
942
00:53:15.345 --> 00:53:17.895
uh, right of way, right in perpetuity
943
00:53:17.925 --> 00:53:19.495
that the gains are significant
944
00:53:19.955 --> 00:53:24.815
```

```
and that 30 year right of access is, um, is preferable.
945
00:53:25.115 --> 00:53:28.375
The county council have expressed a strong preference
946
00:53:28.395 --> 00:53:31.015
for the, uh, public right of way in perpetuity.
947
00:53:31.475 --> 00:53:33.895
So there is a difference of opinion between ourselves
948
00:53:33.995 --> 00:53:35.375
and the county council.
949
00:53:36.155 --> 00:53:39.615
Um, I think as, um, Mrs. Moss has just discussed,
950
00:53:39.925 --> 00:53:44.295
it's incumbent on us to negotiate with the landlord, uh,
951
00:53:44.295 --> 00:53:46.855
the landowner in preference to, uh,
952
00:53:46.975 --> 00:53:49.135
imposing compulsory acquisition powers.
953
00:53:49.285 --> 00:53:51.815
They've expressed concerns about these antisocial behavior
954
00:53:51.815 --> 00:53:56.175
issues and feel that a permissive path would allow them to,
955
00:53:56.755 --> 00:53:58.335
um, come
956
00:53:58.335 --> 00:53:59.735
to a voluntary settlement rather than
957
00:53:59.735 --> 00:54:01.095
imposing compulsory powers.
```

```
958
00:54:01.395 --> 00:54:05.255
So, um, you, you, you've got differing views here about
959
00:54:05.255 --> 00:54:06.495
what is most appropriate.
960
00:54:06.995 --> 00:54:09.655
Um, the county council feel that the, uh,
961
00:54:09.835 --> 00:54:12.415
the full public right of way would be most appropriate.
962
00:54:12.475 --> 00:54:15.375
We feel that we, the applicant feel that, um,
963
00:54:15.775 --> 00:54:17.535
a similar level of planning gain could be delivered
964
00:54:17.535 --> 00:54:20.175
through the 30 year, um, permissive path,
965
00:54:20.835 --> 00:54:23.735
and the landowner is very keen to ensure
966
00:54:23.735 --> 00:54:27.095
that anti-social behavior on its land can be managed, um,
967
00:54:28.095 --> 00:54:29.835
uh, through a permissive path agreement.
968
00:54:32.105 --> 00:54:34.555
Okay, thank you. I mean, I guess it's kind of for the,
969
00:54:34.615 --> 00:54:37.995
for us as the X eight to decide how much weight we give
970
00:54:37.995 --> 00:54:40.835
to something temporary, albeit 30 years
971
00:54:40.895 --> 00:54:42.515
```

```
or compared to permanent.
972
00:54:43.475 --> 00:54:44.145
Thank you, sir.
973
00:54:50.135 --> 00:54:52.705
Okay, moving on to the comp acquisition schedule
974
00:54:53.725 --> 00:54:56.745
and BAR, uh, book of reference matters for clarification,
975
00:54:57.005 --> 00:55:01.905
um, in the comp acquisition schedule,
976
00:55:05.725 --> 00:55:07.755
could you just briefly explain the, um,
977
00:55:08.495 --> 00:55:09.555
the ordering of the plot?
978
00:55:10.115 --> 00:55:11.555
Num plot numbers, are they
979
00:55:13.835 --> 00:55:15.645
just ran sort of randomly ordered?
980
00:55:15.705 --> 00:55:19.125
Do they order in blocks depending on the type
981
00:55:19.125 --> 00:55:21.645
of power sought
982
00:55:24.005 --> 00:55:25.005
Ian cuts the applicant?
983
00:55:25.595 --> 00:55:29.845
Your, um, your, your last statement there was, was,
984
00:55:29.865 --> 00:55:31.205
was correct, they're, they're not random.
```

```
985
00:55:31.465 --> 00:55:34.365
Um, essentially, uh, the,
986
00:55:37.955 --> 00:55:41.525
that they, the, the plot numbers have been ordered in
987
00:55:41.525 --> 00:55:46.005
accordance with, uh, the description, um, of the,
988
00:55:46.745 --> 00:55:48.485
uh, rights required.
989
00:55:49.225 --> 00:55:51.205
Um, I think the, the applicant appreciates
990
00:55:51.205 --> 00:55:54.005
that perhaps it could be a little clearer and
991
00:55:54.005 --> 00:55:56.685
therefore in our next submission, a deadline for, uh,
992
00:55:56.685 --> 00:55:59.925
we will amend it to make sure that, uh, it, it,
993
00:55:59.995 --> 00:56:01.645
it's clear what relates to what.
994
00:56:01.795 --> 00:56:02.405
Okay, thank you.
995
00:56:11.495 --> 00:56:14.555
Um, next one, clarification of who's included
996
00:56:15.535 --> 00:56:17.795
in the compulsory acquisition schedule, um,
997
00:56:17.935 --> 00:56:20.995
and why, for example, not just
998
00:56:22.805 --> 00:56:25.075
```

```
affected persons who've made representations
999
00:56:25.095 --> 00:56:26.675
or objected are included.
1000
00:56:28.015 --> 00:56:32.515
So the compulsory acquisition schedule normally sort
1001
00:56:32.515 --> 00:56:34.235
of highlights who's made an objection
1002
00:56:34.375 --> 00:56:36.275
and where you are in negotiations,
1003
00:56:36.895 --> 00:56:40.475
but this seems to include parties who've made no
1004
00:56:41.635 --> 00:56:43.075
representations such, so I'm just wondering
1005
00:56:44.855 --> 00:56:48.585
why you've included those particular parties
1006
00:56:49.045 --> 00:56:50.305
and if you've included those,
1007
00:56:50.305 --> 00:56:51.665
whether you've included all parties.
1008
00:56:57.335 --> 00:57:00.915
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant, the applicant wasn't aware
1009
00:57:00.915 --> 00:57:04.915
that we've included a party who either, um,
1010
00:57:05.445 --> 00:57:07.515
we're not seeking to acquire land from
1011
00:57:07.615 --> 00:57:09.275
or has made a representation.
```

```
1012
00:57:10.035 --> 00:57:12.715
I mean, if that's something that has arisen, then uh,
1013
00:57:12.715 --> 00:57:13.995
the applicant's very happy to look at that.
1014
00:57:14.425 --> 00:57:18.785
Okay. So just for as an example, entry CA 0 0 4,
1015
00:57:20.565 --> 00:57:25.395
uh, which is you
1016
00:57:25.395 --> 00:57:27.875
and I development and Trading Limited, um,
1 0 1 7
00:57:29.335 --> 00:57:30.705
they've not made an objection
1018
00:57:31.205 --> 00:57:34.465
or any representation into the examination,
1019
00:57:36.145 --> 00:57:38.565
so I'm wondering why they're included in the ca schedule.
1020
00:57:39.985 --> 00:57:42.765
Is it purely because you are, they're a party,
1 0 2 1
00:57:42.985 --> 00:57:47.285
you are seeking to, um, compuls to acquire land from
1022
00:57:48.575 --> 00:57:49.675
the right or rights,
1023
00:57:50.095 --> 00:57:51.355
Uh, Ian cuts to the applicant?
1024
00:57:51.415 --> 00:57:52.955
Yes, absolutely. We, we are seeking
1025
00:57:52.955 --> 00:57:53.955
```

```
to acquire rights from them.
1026
00:57:54.985 --> 00:57:58.875
Okay. So in the CH schedule, anyone who you are seeking
1027
00:57:58.875 --> 00:58:00.515
to acquire rights from is included
1028
00:58:01.945 --> 00:58:03.425
Ian cuts to the applicant? Yes.
1029
00:58:03.495 --> 00:58:04.105
Yeah. Okay.
1030
00:58:10.315 --> 00:58:12.775
Um, and then the reason why you say tenants
1 0 3 1
00:58:12.775 --> 00:58:13.895
or not all tenants
1032
00:58:13.895 --> 00:58:17.695
and occupiers are included in the ca schedule, um,
1 0 3 3
00:58:17.915 --> 00:58:21.255
and for example, why also why you're not negotiating
1034
00:58:21.815 --> 00:58:24.815
directly with some of these tenants and occupiers?
1035
00:58:26.235 --> 00:58:27.815
For example, PX Farms Limited,
1036
00:58:32.585 --> 00:58:33.585
Ian cuts the applicant.
1037
00:58:34.285 --> 00:58:38.215
So the, the tenants
1038
00:58:38.215 --> 00:58:39.855
and occupiers that we've, um,
```

1039
00:58:41.935 --> 00:58:46.585
That have not been listed in the, in the schedule, um,
1040
00:58:47.485 --> 00:58:51.145
is as a result of the fact that we are unable at this point
1041
00:58:51.245 --> 00:58:54.545
to have any, uh, discussions
1042
00:58:54.545 --> 00:58:58.065
around the acquisition of rights, um, from them
1043
00:58:58.205 --> 00:58:59.545
by agreement, uh,
1044
00:58:59.545 --> 00:59:02.785
because they are not in a position to have those discussions
1045
00:59:02.785 --> 00:59:07.235
because they don't have a suitable interest in land, uh,
1046
00:59:07.305 --> 00:59:10.115
that they can convey to us in the future.
1047
00:59:10.935 --> 00:59:12.595
Uh, that does not mean to say though,
1048
00:59:12.595 --> 00:59:16.315
however, sir, that we have not fully engaged with them, uh,
1049
00:59:16.315 --> 00:59:18.515
during the course of, of, of the project.
1050
00:59:19.215 --> 00:59:22.765
Um, so that they are very much aware of the nature
1051
00:59:23.025 --> 00:59:25.285
of the proposed development in relation to their,
1052
00:59:26.265 --> 00:59:27.965
in relation to the land that they occupy.
1053
00:59:29.465 --> 00:59:32.005
Um, I I might just answer as, as well
1054
00:59:32.035 --> 00:59:36.485
that in relation specifically to PX Farms, um, that entity's
1055
00:59:37.005 --> 00:59:41.085
landlord specifically ask us, um, to, um,
1056
00:59:42.075 --> 00:59:45.405
deal with matters related to PX farms, um, with the landlord
1057
00:59:45.405 --> 00:59:47.445
with them rather than with the tenant direct.
1058
00:59:49.765 --> 00:59:51.615
Okay. And they're, they're, they're happy with
1059
00:59:51.615 --> 00:59:52.655
that. Are they,
1060
00:59:52.915 --> 00:59:56.135
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant in relation to PX farms?
1061
00:59:56.755 --> 00:59:59.015
Yes, they are. I can confirm that.
1062
00:59:59.685 --> 01:00:01.975
Okay. It might, it might be useful if you could just
1063
01:00:03.165 --> 01:00:07.875
maybe add at the beginning of the ca schedule who, who,
1064
01:00:08.255 --> 01:00:10.235
who you have included and who you haven't
1065
01:00:10.535 --> 01:00:14.715
and maybe why if you haven't included certain parties,

1066
01:00:14.855 --> 01:00:16.915
if you have included some occupiers and not others.
1067
01:00:16.935 --> 01:00:17.935
For example,
1068
01:00:19.275 --> 01:00:21.515
Ian cuts the applicant. Absolutely. So, yeah.
1069
01:00:22.025 --> 01:00:23.835
Okay. Thank you. And then moving on,
1070
01:00:23.975 --> 01:00:26.795
so the Starkey family are identified in the ca schedule
1071
01:00:26.815 --> 01:00:30.635
as having part two category three interests only.
1072
01:00:31.545 --> 01:00:33.555
However, the book of reference indicates that they,
1073
01:00:33.785 --> 01:00:36.635
this part, this affected person has
1074
01:00:37.505 --> 01:00:40.915
part one owner interest also in plot oh 48 A.
1075
01:00:41.055 --> 01:00:43.475
So why are they not in the ca schedule?
1076
01:00:43.475 --> 01:00:46.515
Seeing as we've had a objection from that party,
1077
01:00:53.355 --> 01:00:57.755
Ian cuts the applicant in relation to the interest in land
1078
01:00:58.385 --> 01:01:02.835
that we believe the Starkey family have in, in plot 48 A.
1079
01:01:03.495 --> 01:01:08.435

Uh, they, they are an assumed owner of a moty interest
1080
01:01:09.255 --> 01:01:10.255
Of a what? Sorry?
1081
01:01:10.255 --> 01:01:11.995
A moty interest.
1082
01:01:12.335 --> 01:01:17.115
Um, so that there's a presumption that law that the owner
1083
01:01:17.115 --> 01:01:21.995
of a piece of land owns the, the subsoil of the land,
1084
01:01:22.735 --> 01:01:25.435
um, underneath the rail underneath a road
1085
01:01:25.775 --> 01:01:27.715
to the halfway point of the road.
1086
01:01:28.375 --> 01:01:29.795
And, and that's, that's the assumption
1087
01:01:29.795 --> 01:01:31.995
that we've made throughout, um, the land plans
1088
01:01:32.175 --> 01:01:33.355
and, and book of reference.
1089
01:01:33.975 --> 01:01:37.315
Uh, so that, that's hence the inclusion, um,
1090
01:01:37.315 --> 01:01:39.755
because 48 A is within the order limits,
1091
01:01:39.885 --> 01:01:42.075
hence the inclusion, um, here.
1092
01:01:44.455 --> 01:01:47.825
Yeah, but 40, uh, you haven't listed plot 48 A in the

```
1093
01:01:48.965 --> 01:01:50.985
ca schedule as under the,
1094
01:01:50.995 --> 01:01:52.985
under the Starkey family is having an interest in
1095
01:01:52.985 --> 01:01:55.225
it. Why, why is that
1096
01:01:55.945 --> 01:01:59.385
Ian cuts in, um, appearing for the applicant?
1097
01:01:59.685 --> 01:02:00.705
The reason for that is
1098
01:02:00.705 --> 01:02:03.705
because as we discussed earlier this morning, uh,
1099
01:02:03.845 --> 01:02:05.665
we are not se the applicant is not seeking
1100
01:02:05.685 --> 01:02:07.385
to acquire an interest in that parcel.
1101
01:02:08.085 --> 01:02:09.705
It it will be, um,
1102
01:02:09.975 --> 01:02:13.545
because it's a yellow parcel, it will only be interfering
1103
01:02:13.705 --> 01:02:14.865
with any private rights.
1104
01:02:15.165 --> 01:02:17.665
And that's a point that Mrs. Moss covered earlier.
1105
01:02:20.735 --> 01:02:25.605
Okay. So from previous case
1106
01:02:25.605 --> 01:02:28.525
```

```
I've worked on, when, uh, someone's in the ca schedule,
1107
01:02:28.665 --> 01:02:32.325
it lists kind of all the plots they're interested in
1108
01:02:33.105 --> 01:02:37.945
and then it may say, well, these plots we're not,
1109
01:02:38.005 --> 01:02:40.345
we don't need to negotiate because of X, Y, Z.
1110
01:02:41.165 --> 01:02:45.385
So in this case it's a little,
1111
01:02:45.785 --> 01:02:47.065
I find it a little bit confusing
1112
01:02:47.065 --> 01:02:49.545
because I can see that they have interest in some plots,
1113
01:02:49.545 --> 01:02:53.345
but you haven't really explained why you don't need
1114
01:02:53.405 --> 01:02:54.945
to necessarily negotiate
1115
01:02:54.945 --> 01:02:56.665
with them over those particular plots.
1116
01:02:57.325 --> 01:03:00.825
So I think it may be worth making that clearer.
1117
01:03:05.145 --> 01:03:07.205
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, um,
1118
01:03:07.755 --> 01:03:10.005
that can certainly be done with the next iteration
1119
01:03:10.005 --> 01:03:12.005
of the compulsory acquisition schedule.
```

```
1120
01:03:12.205 --> 01:03:13.285
I think, I think it's fair to say
1121
01:03:13.285 --> 01:03:16.565
that there's sometimes different differing approaches from
1122
01:03:16.665 --> 01:03:17.685
one project to the next,
1123
01:03:18.025 --> 01:03:22.725
but, um, the, the next version can be more iterative
1124
01:03:22.725 --> 01:03:24.925
regarding all, all of the plots that
1125
01:03:25.485 --> 01:03:28.125
a particular owner may well have an interest in.
1126
01:03:28.865 --> 01:03:32.845
And, um, uh, some commentary if, if necessary to,
1127
01:03:33.105 --> 01:03:36.965
to explain be certainly in relation to the, to the,
1128
01:03:37.145 --> 01:03:39.365
the particular parcel that the Starkey family
1129
01:03:40.185 --> 01:03:41.405
may have there.
1130
01:03:41.405 --> 01:03:42.685
There's nothing to convey,
1131
01:03:42.705 --> 01:03:45.045
but we can, we can put a comment to
1132
01:03:45.045 --> 01:03:46.645
that effect next to the relevant line in.
1133
01:03:46.995 --> 01:03:49.015
```

Okay. I can see, um, Ms.

```
1134
01:03:49.015 --> 01:03:50.735
Starkey has a hand raised actually, so
1135
01:03:52.145 --> 01:03:52.265
I,
1136
01:04:02.625 --> 01:04:05.445
Uh, Margaret Starkey, um,
1137
01:04:06.345 --> 01:04:08.525
can I just clarify a couple of things?
1138
01:04:09.185 --> 01:04:13.165
Um, in the, um, recent version of the BOR,
1139
01:04:13.585 --> 01:04:15.885
it refers to one Riverside cottage.
1140
01:04:16.345 --> 01:04:20.925
Um, it's, um, the property is known
1141
01:04:21.145 --> 01:04:22.925
as Riverside Cottages.
1142
01:04:23.235 --> 01:04:26.925
This is historical, um, but in the land registry
1143
01:04:27.185 --> 01:04:31.325
and on South Kansas district council, council house council,
1144
01:04:32.025 --> 01:04:34.605
uh, rates, um, list,
1145
01:04:35.065 --> 01:04:38.205
it is Riverside cottages, it's historical.
1146
01:04:38.335 --> 01:04:40.765
There were three in the 19th century,
```

```
1147
01:04:41.505 --> 01:04:43.125
two in the 20th century.
1148
01:04:43.705 --> 01:04:46.525
And since 1985, only one.
1149
01:04:47.265 --> 01:04:51.325
Um, can I also clarify that, uh, in the BOR,
1150
01:04:51.945 --> 01:04:56.885
the um, uh, people who may have other interests, um,
1151
01:04:57.465 --> 01:05:02.125
in access, um, include, uh, the water company.
1152
01:05:02.665 --> 01:05:06.445
Uh, but, um, uh, there are three, uh, two others
1 1 5 3
01:05:06.595 --> 01:05:08.085
that should be included
1154
01:05:08.785 --> 01:05:12.965
and that is, um, British Telecom, um,
1155
01:05:13.345 --> 01:05:15.645
and, uh, Cambridge fiber
1156
01:05:16.545 --> 01:05:19.605
and, um, open reach, uh,
1157
01:05:19.605 --> 01:05:23.565
because there is a, a junction box just
1158
01:05:23.875 --> 01:05:27.085
outside the house, um, at the hedge.
1159
01:05:27.945 --> 01:05:30.325
Uh, and they will need access.
1160
01:05:30.665 --> 01:05:34.285
```

Um, and, um, this may interfere
1161
01:05:34.355 --> 01:05:37.605
with both our rights of access and theirs.
1162
01:05:38.575 --> 01:05:39.575
Thank you, sir.
1163
01:05:42.675 --> 01:05:45.365
Okay, thank you. Do you want to take that away
1164
01:05:45.365 --> 01:05:47.845
and have a look at that with regard
1165
01:05:47.845 --> 01:05:50.365
to those particular other interests?
1166
01:05:51.865 --> 01:05:53.925
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant. Uh, yes please, sir.
1167
01:05:53.925 --> 01:05:56.125
We, we will. But, um, we thank Mrs.
1168
01:05:56.125 --> 01:05:57.365
Starkey for her information in relation
1169
01:05:57.365 --> 01:05:58.605
to the, to both points.
1170
01:06:04.035 --> 01:06:05.535
As far as I understand though Mrs.
1171
01:06:05.725 --> 01:06:08.815
Starkey's access won't be affected, would it?
1172
01:06:12.485 --> 01:06:14.085
Ian cuts to the applicant that, that's right.
1173
01:06:14.105 --> 01:06:17.245
So other than perhaps there might be some trimming

1174
01:06:17.245 --> 01:06:18.685
of vegetation, not necessarily Mr.
1175
01:06:18.685 --> 01:06:20.125
Starkey's, but in the general area,
1176
01:06:20.545 --> 01:06:23.165
but fundamentally, uh, you, you're correct.
1177
01:06:25.115 --> 01:06:28.325
Okay. So you may have the same answer for the next, um,
1178
01:06:29.625 --> 01:06:30.685
few bullet points.
1179
01:06:31.005 --> 01:06:32.405
Actually, I dunno.
1180
01:06:32.505 --> 01:06:36.205
The, so for the ca schedule, so shows
1181
01:06:37.505 --> 01:06:40.205
Julian Wilson and Francis is having interest in plots
1182
01:06:40.955 --> 01:06:42.645
plot oh 35 B,
1183
01:06:44.535 --> 01:06:47.105
however, no such plot is listed in the BOR
1184
01:06:47.485 --> 01:06:48.585
or on the land plans.
1185
01:06:49.245 --> 01:06:51.145
Um, also the BOR identifies
1186
01:06:52.565 --> 01:06:56.135
this AP having interest in numerous other plots,
1187
01:06:56.135 --> 01:06:57.535
however, that's not in the ca schedule.
1188
01:06:58.755 --> 01:07:01.855
So is that because, is that the same reason that
1189
01:07:02.395 --> 01:07:05.695
you're not affecting those particular interests,
1190
01:07:07.735 --> 01:07:08.735
The applicant? That That's
1191
01:07:08.735 --> 01:07:12.295
right. Uh, um, it's that general principle that,
1192
01:07:12.675 --> 01:07:14.455
um, that, that you've just described.
1193
01:07:14.915 --> 01:07:18.535
If I can just cover off specifically plot 35 B , um,
1194
01:07:19.735 --> 01:07:22.205
there, there is no such plot, um, and
1195
01:07:22.205 --> 01:07:23.725
therefore it doesn't appear on the land plans
1196
01:07:23.785 --> 01:07:26.005
and doesn't appear in the book of reference and
1197
01:07:26.005 --> 01:07:28.485
therefore it's been incorrectly listed on the ca schedule,
1198
01:07:29.065 --> 01:07:30.325
uh, as, as a plot and
1199
01:07:30.325 --> 01:07:32.725
therefore will be deleted, added line four.
1200
01:07:33.995 --> 01:07:38.435
Okay. Thank you. Uh, the same goes for

```
1201
01:07:40.095 --> 01:07:41.475
the plots for Ellen Francis.
1202
01:07:42.735 --> 01:07:44.235
That's probably going to be, is that going
1203
01:07:44.235 --> 01:07:46.955
to be the same answer with regards
1204
01:07:46.955 --> 01:07:49.435
to plots 0 28 A, et cetera?
1205
01:07:52.415 --> 01:07:56.015
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant that, that is right.
1206
01:07:56.075 --> 01:07:58.495
And if I could possibly just, just summarize
1207
01:07:59.235 --> 01:08:02.015
the position here that, um, for, for many of the,
1208
01:08:03.465 --> 01:08:07.045
of the parties listed in, um, in the rest
1209
01:08:07.045 --> 01:08:11.325
of this agenda item, um, what we've just discussed
1210
01:08:12.035 --> 01:08:16.805
applies very much to, to many of those that there are a,
1211
01:08:17.045 --> 01:08:20.085
a few anomalies outside of those general principles,
1212
01:08:20.335 --> 01:08:24.805
which again, we can, we can set out, uh, uh, to,
1213
01:08:24.825 --> 01:08:25.845
to, to explain them.
1214
01:08:26.345 --> 01:08:28.605
```

```
Um, but the vast majority of,
1215
01:08:28.665 --> 01:08:31.005
of what's listed in the agenda items follow those,
1216
01:08:31.005 --> 01:08:32.805
those principles we've just discussed. Mm-Hmm.
1217
01:08:33.275 --> 01:08:34.965
Okay. So maybe I can leave you
1218
01:08:34.965 --> 01:08:36.405
with those bullet points to address.
1219
01:08:36.905 --> 01:08:41.285
Um, I dunno, in your written summary of this,
1220
01:08:41.465 --> 01:08:43.205
or for deadline for,
1221
01:08:44.145 --> 01:08:46.245
Uh, Ian cuts the applicant, I, I think it'd be
1222
01:08:46.805 --> 01:08:48.805
probably the more efficient way to deal with it, a deadline
1223
01:08:48.805 --> 01:08:52.045
for, with a submission of, uh, of the updated documents.
1224
01:08:55.275 --> 01:08:55.565
Okay.
1225
01:09:00.145 --> 01:09:04.995
Um, I'm, I'm not going
1226
01:09:04.995 --> 01:09:06.515
to go through all those bullet points in that case.
1227
01:09:06.545 --> 01:09:09.635
I'll leave those with you. Obviously,
```

1228
01:09:09.635 --> 01:09:13.115
I'll check your answers when it comes in at deadline for,
1229
01:09:17.695 --> 01:09:20.355
uh, I suppose where, yeah, where the Cadent Gas Limited
1230
01:09:21.655 --> 01:09:23.515
Sky Telecommunication Services Limited
1231
01:09:23.575 --> 01:09:26.595
and City Fiber Limited should be included in the ca schedule
1232
01:09:27.405 --> 01:09:29.195
given they've made representations,
1233
01:09:36.035 --> 01:09:37.715
Ian cuts to the applicant to, to date.
1234
01:09:37.855 --> 01:09:42.235
Uh, um, those parties are category two interests,
1235
01:09:42.735 --> 01:09:45.555
and as you say, they have made even written representations
1236
01:09:45.575 --> 01:09:47.995
or written, uh, rep representations,
1237
01:09:47.995 --> 01:09:50.235
which is why they appear, um, on the schedule.
1238
01:09:51.105 --> 01:09:53.965
Um, however, we're not seeking to acquire
1239
01:09:54.605 --> 01:09:56.685
interests from those parties, uh, and
1240
01:09:56.685 --> 01:09:59.925
therefore, uh, on that basis they could probably come off.
1241
01:10:03.365 --> 01:10:05.265

Uh, well, I'm saying they're not in the schedule,
1242
01:10:05.605 --> 01:10:08.305
so should they be because, um,
1243
01:10:10.515 --> 01:10:11.815
so CA
1244
01:10:11.815 --> 01:10:15.095
and gas limiters are in the statutory undertaker schedule,
1245
01:10:16.155 --> 01:10:19.245
but I'm not sure they're in the ca schedule.
1246
01:10:22.275 --> 01:10:24.615
So Michelle Moss for the applicant, just I have,
1247
01:10:25.405 --> 01:10:29.455
it's down in the ca schedule as CA
1248
01:10:30.445 --> 01:10:33.495
079 squeezed in at the bottom.
1249
01:10:33.805 --> 01:10:37.575
Okay. However, um, I'm not clear
1250
01:10:38.675 --> 01:10:41.695
Sky and city fiber are in there, but cadences
1251
01:10:46.385 --> 01:10:47.385
Okay. Uh, maybe
1252
01:10:47.385 --> 01:10:48.155
I can leave that with you
1253
01:10:48.155 --> 01:10:50.155
to see if they need to be included also.
1254
01:10:55.305 --> 01:10:58.605
And then there was just the last bullet point with a number

```
1255
01:10:58.625 --> 01:11:03.555
of parties who are identified
1256
01:11:03.555 --> 01:11:06.235
as category three persons in the ca schedule,
1257
01:11:08.625 --> 01:11:10.245
but their names do not appear in
1258
01:11:10.245 --> 01:11:11.405
part two of the book of reference
1259
01:11:21.915 --> 01:11:22.915
Ian cuts to the applicant. Um,
1260
01:11:22.915 --> 01:11:25.035
this is in relation, so I believe
1261
01:11:25.035 --> 01:11:28.555
to the Horing Sea Village Hall, uh, and Green Trust.
1262
01:11:29.645 --> 01:11:34.385
Um, we, we have contacted, uh, the, um,
1263
01:11:35.625 --> 01:11:36.955
that the trust concerned and,
1264
01:11:37.115 --> 01:11:41.235
and confirmed the, um, updated list of trustees.
1265
01:11:42.015 --> 01:11:43.955
Um, and, and therefore we can, um,
1266
01:11:44.545 --> 01:11:46.355
make the necessary changes to the documents.
1267
01:11:47.255 --> 01:11:49.825
Okay. I'll leave that with you as well then. Thank you.
1268
01:11:51.535 --> 01:11:54.355
```

Uh, that was all the questions I had for this agenda item.
1269
01:11:55.855 --> 01:11:57.075
Um, does anybody wish
1270
01:11:57.115 --> 01:11:59.755
to comment on anything discussed under this agenda item,
1271
01:12:00.685 --> 01:12:04.555
which they do not intend to discuss under agenda item four?
1272
01:12:09.655 --> 01:12:11.585
Okay. Yes, Ms. Cotton,
1273
01:12:12.545 --> 01:12:15.705
I, I hope it's not inappropriate again, uh, time-wise,
1274
01:12:15.905 --> 01:12:20.785
I just wanted to say with regard to, um, uh, uh, the, um,
1275
01:12:21.405 --> 01:12:24.385
the, uh, given that the tunnel is going through a flood,
1276
01:12:24.445 --> 01:12:25.825
I'm just, it's 'cause it's so appropriate at the moment
1277
01:12:25.985 --> 01:12:28.305
'cause the weather is so awful and got so much flooding and,
1278
01:12:28.485 --> 01:12:32.025
and it is going through, uh, a flood zone free, um,
1279
01:12:32.025 --> 01:12:34.265
the transfer tunnel and there are extensive, um,
1280
01:12:35.685 --> 01:12:37.345
you might direct me to say it's relevant
1281
01:12:37.345 --> 01:12:39.185
for on another hearing, but, um,

```
1282
01:12:39.295 --> 01:12:41.585
that there are extensive plans for drainage systems
1283
01:12:42.005 --> 01:12:43.305
for the wastewater treatment plant
1284
01:12:43.305 --> 01:12:47.305
that's going into a zone one, um, uh, uh, flat zone.
1285
01:12:47.525 --> 01:12:48.825
But there doesn't seem to be anything
1286
01:12:48.825 --> 01:12:50.185
where the transfer plan is going through.
1287
01:12:50.185 --> 01:12:54.145
And therefore I would request that the, uh, um, applicant,
1288
01:12:54.405 --> 01:12:56.985
um, do thorough, uh, research in
1289
01:12:57.005 --> 01:13:00.825
and monitor our groundwater level during construction
1290
01:13:00.825 --> 01:13:03.105
and afterwards and, uh, the effectiveness
1291
01:13:03.165 --> 01:13:04.945
of our septic tanks that we have there.
1292
01:13:05.525 --> 01:13:07.705
Um, because obviously that will be appalling if, uh,
1293
01:13:07.845 --> 01:13:10.545
the construction affected our ability, ironically
1294
01:13:10.545 --> 01:13:12.025
to not manage our own sewage
1295
01:13:12.025 --> 01:13:14.025
```

because we're not connected to the mains.
1296
01:13:21.095 --> 01:13:22.705
Yeah, I think that's probably something
1297
01:13:23.375 --> 01:13:25.465
that'll be covered in the upcoming, um,
1298
01:13:25.515 --> 01:13:27.425
issue specific hearing, dealing
1299
01:13:27.425 --> 01:13:29.265
with water resources. Okay. Matters.
1300
01:13:29.555 --> 01:13:31.985
Thank you. Um, if you are, feel free
1301
01:13:31.985 --> 01:13:33.305
to raise it again at that point.
1302
01:13:33.705 --> 01:13:35.825
I haven't raised it before, so that's why I sort of wanted
1303
01:13:35.825 --> 01:13:37.185
to, but I'll put it in writing.
1304
01:13:37.835 --> 01:13:38.835
Thank you.
1305
01:13:43.235 --> 01:13:45.805
Okay. And I can't see any hands raised virtually either
1306
01:13:47.415 --> 01:13:51.395
at the moment, so I'll move on to agenda item four.
1307
01:14:09.295 --> 01:14:09.915
Uh, so,
1308
01:14:18.815 --> 01:14:22.675
so I've got a list with came of people wanting

```
1309
01:14:22.695 --> 01:14:24.595
to speak on this agenda item, um,
1310
01:14:26.695 --> 01:14:27.865
Cambridge City Council.
1311
01:14:27.945 --> 01:14:30.345
I dunno whether that's actually the case or not.
1312
01:14:30.345 --> 01:14:32.865
Could you, would you confirm if you would like to
1313
01:14:33.995 --> 01:14:36.185
speak on this particular agenda item?
1314
01:14:43.285 --> 01:14:46.265
So, um, my instructions are that,
1315
01:14:46.265 --> 01:14:47.905
that there is no intention to do so,
1316
01:14:48.005 --> 01:14:51.505
but I am aware of, of someone in the audience.
1317
01:14:52.085 --> 01:14:53.905
Um, and forgive me, I have not been able
1318
01:14:53.905 --> 01:14:55.225
to contact them directly,
1319
01:14:55.285 --> 01:14:57.305
but, um, I believe they were from the city
1320
01:14:57.305 --> 01:14:58.705
council, not the district council.
1321
01:14:59.045 --> 01:15:00.985
I'm sorry. No, communications have not been quite
1322
01:15:00.985 --> 01:15:02.065
```

as efficient as I'd hoped.
1323
01:15:02.365 --> 01:15:04.065
No, that's fine. It's been confirmed that,
1324
01:15:05.335 --> 01:15:07.785
that there's no, yeah, you don't want speak at this.
1325
01:15:07.895 --> 01:15:10.145
Okay, that's fine. Fine. And also great,
1326
01:15:10.785 --> 01:15:12.785
I, I'm obviously got three hats,
1327
01:15:12.785 --> 01:15:14.985
but, um, um, I'm, I'm very happy to speak to,
1328
01:15:15.245 --> 01:15:16.945
to whichever one you asked me to wear.
1329
01:15:17.885 --> 01:15:19.625
And the same, the same as the case for
1330
01:15:20.755 --> 01:15:22.145
South Cambridge District Council?
1331
01:15:23.045 --> 01:15:24.625
Yes. Yes. Okay.
1332
01:15:26.615 --> 01:15:30.825
And Cambridge, uh, county council,
1333
01:15:31.885 --> 01:15:35.665
We, we are here and, and, uh, um, and I, Mr.
1334
01:15:35.835 --> 01:15:39.105
Kafer, as, uh, as you'll know is, is, is, is present,
1335
01:15:39.205 --> 01:15:42.025
who is also here on behalf of, of the county council.

```
1336
01:15:42.245 --> 01:15:45.785
And, um, again, we will, we'll answer any issues that, that,
1337
01:15:45.785 --> 01:15:47.625
that you wish to raise. Um,
1338
01:15:47.735 --> 01:15:48.735
Okay. It may, may be when
1339
01:15:48.735 --> 01:15:49.065
we come
1340
01:15:49.065 --> 01:15:50.705
to the statutory undertaker section that,
1341
01:15:51.215 --> 01:15:53.185
That was what we were expecting, sir. Yeah.
1342
01:15:53.455 --> 01:15:57.265
Okay. Um, in that case, um, Ms.
1343
01:15:57.355 --> 01:15:59.545
Cosin, would you like to, would you like
1344
01:15:59.545 --> 01:16:00.705
to speak under this agenda item?
1345
01:16:01.405 --> 01:16:05.345
Um, so this is basically where you can say anything you wish
1346
01:16:05.505 --> 01:16:08.625
with regard to, uh, your views on sort of
1347
01:16:09.175 --> 01:16:11.325
compulsory requisition, temporary possession matters
1348
01:16:11.755 --> 01:16:13.045
that we haven't covered already.
1349
01:16:13.445 --> 01:16:14.445
```

I suppose,
1350
01:16:17.675 --> 01:16:21.375
Uh, just, just to, uh, reiterate that, um, um,
1351
01:16:21.595 --> 01:16:24.575
as you've said, it seems unnecessary to compulsory acquire,
1352
01:16:25.075 --> 01:16:28.375
uh, land, um, when it's, uh, uh, not necessary.
1353
01:16:28.675 --> 01:16:33.255
Um, the, uh, my, um, the farmers, um, um,
1354
01:16:33.425 --> 01:16:36.135
would, we'd like clarity about, um, how long,
1355
01:16:36.435 --> 01:16:38.775
how many growing seasons will be affected.
1356
01:16:38.875 --> 01:16:41.215
It says in the application that, uh,
1357
01:16:41.235 --> 01:16:43.415
the farmer will not be able to farm for one
1358
01:16:43.555 --> 01:16:44.855
or two growing seasons.
1359
01:16:45.315 --> 01:16:47.735
Um, that seems there's a big difference between one and two.
1360
01:16:48.275 --> 01:16:53.135
Um, and, um, uh, just generally, um,
1361
01:16:53.675 --> 01:16:56.255
as we've said, we are, we feel that we are deeply affected
1362
01:16:56.325 --> 01:17:01.015
with sewage coming, uh, on, uh, both sides of our properties

```
1363
01:17:01.195 --> 01:17:04.655
and also deeply affected by the, uh, the, um,
1364
01:17:05.715 --> 01:17:07.895
the junction, which will be, uh, completely re
1365
01:17:08.415 --> 01:17:10.045
reconfigured at the top of our drive.
1366
01:17:10.065 --> 01:17:12.365
So we're very concerned about access to Milton, access
1367
01:17:12.365 --> 01:17:15.005
to Ton, um, and, uh, um,
1368
01:17:15.865 --> 01:17:18.485
and our general, uh, wellbeing.
1369
01:17:20.355 --> 01:17:21.395
I think that covers everything.
1370
01:17:27.735 --> 01:17:29.185
Okay. And we've got, uh,
1371
01:17:29.245 --> 01:17:31.985
gun villain keys under this agenda item too.
1372
01:17:32.085 --> 01:17:33.625
I'm not sure if you want to add anything
1373
01:17:34.485 --> 01:17:37.105
or say anything that we haven't already discussed.
1374
01:17:39.685 --> 01:17:41.945
Thanks for Common Keys.
1375
01:17:42.005 --> 01:17:43.385
No further comment from me on that.
1376
01:17:45.165 --> 01:17:45.385
```

Um,
1377
01:17:54.235 --> 01:17:55.235
Okay, thank you. And,
1378
01:17:55.235 --> 01:17:58.125
um, Mrs.
1379
01:17:58.125 --> 01:18:01.685
Starkey is the other person I've got on my list.
1380
01:18:28.825 --> 01:18:29.735
Hello. Thank
1381
01:18:29.735 --> 01:18:30.735
You, sir. Nothing
1382
01:18:30.735 --> 01:18:31.615
further.
1383
01:18:35.375 --> 01:18:36.145
Okay. Thank you.
1384
01:18:47.445 --> 01:18:49.345
Oh, sorry. National Highways, I think maybe
1385
01:18:50.035 --> 01:18:51.585
we'll wait till we get to the next one
1386
01:18:51.585 --> 01:18:53.785
with statue undertakers, if you are happy with that.
1387
01:18:56.115 --> 01:18:58.175
And the same for the conservators of the river cam.
1388
01:19:06.795 --> 01:19:08.205
Yeah. Okay.
1389
01:19:12.445 --> 01:19:13.575
Okay, thank you. Um,

```
1390
01:19:13.635 --> 01:19:16.535
and is there anybody else today here, either in the room
1391
01:19:16.535 --> 01:19:19.215
or virtually who would like to speak
1392
01:19:20.715 --> 01:19:22.065
about compulsory acquisition
1393
01:19:22.085 --> 01:19:25.035
or temporary possession to this?
1394
01:19:25.055 --> 01:19:26.715
To the extent that they're affected by it?
1395
01:19:31.915 --> 01:19:33.365
Okay. There's no hands raised in the room
1396
01:19:33.905 --> 01:19:35.325
and I can't see any virtually either.
1397
01:19:40.675 --> 01:19:42.975
Uh, would the applicant like to respond to any points made
1398
01:19:42.995 --> 01:19:45.655
by Ms. Cotton at this point?
1399
01:19:59.635 --> 01:20:02.425
Thank you. So Michelle Moss for the applicant, um, it,
1400
01:20:02.425 --> 01:20:06.345
with regards to the growing season,
1401
01:20:07.265 --> 01:20:09.245
um, the applicant is unable
1402
01:20:09.265 --> 01:20:11.885
to confirm at present whether it would be one
1403
01:20:11.885 --> 01:20:13.005
```

or two seasons.

1404
01:20:13.745 --> 01:20:16.285
Um, it has assessed the,
1405
01:20:16.425 --> 01:20:18.525
the position on the base of the worst case scenario.
1406
01:20:19.075 --> 01:20:22.285
What, what the applicant would say, obviously is that, um,
1407
01:20:22.825 --> 01:20:27.725
it would fully compensate the any impacts, uh, as a result
1408
01:20:27.725 --> 01:20:31.685
of not being able to farm the land as a co as a result
1409
01:20:31.685 --> 01:20:32.925
of the proposed development.
1410
01:20:33.705 --> 01:20:37.935
Um, and, and it believes that those impacts are capable
1411
01:20:38.075 --> 01:20:39.615
of being remedied by compensation.

1412
01:20:45.865 --> 01:20:49.795
Okay, thank you. Anything else on Ms.
1413
01:20:49.795 --> 01:20:51.235
Cotton's comments or is that it?
1414
01:20:57.345 --> 01:20:59.385
Ian cuts for the applicant? Just really
1415
01:20:59.405 --> 01:21:01.825
to reiterate my earlier points about access to
1416
01:21:02.335 --> 01:21:03.385
both Popler Hall and,

```
1417
01:21:03.385 --> 01:21:08.305
and Popler Hall Farm, um, as, as well, again, we recognize
1418
01:21:08.305 --> 01:21:12.065
that that's a very important, um, aspect to, to consult
1419
01:21:12.065 --> 01:21:15.145
with, with the residents in the area, uh, to ensure,
1420
01:21:16.045 --> 01:21:17.225
um, everything is in place.
1421
01:21:20.995 --> 01:21:25.405
Okay, thank you. Um, in that case, I'll move on to, well,
1422
01:21:25.885 --> 01:21:27.405
I was going to move on to agenda item five,
1423
01:21:27.425 --> 01:21:30.645
but I think maybe we'll take a }15\mathrm{ minute break, um,
1424
01:21:30.645 --> 01:21:35.065
before we do so, so the time is
1425
01:21:35.895 --> 01:21:38.755
2 51 and if we adjourn till,
1426
01:21:39.415 --> 01:21:41.995
should we say 10 past three, please.
1427
01:21:42.125 --> 01:21:42.595
Thank you.
```

